



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TEACHER EDUCATION RESEARCH STUDIES (IJTERS)

(Open Access, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal)

ISSN Online: 3049-1614



Student Mental Health and Well-Being in Higher Education

Jeeva Chacko

Principal, St. Mary's Arts and Science College, Cherupanathady, India.

Article information

Received: 5th March 2026

Received in revised form: 11th April 2026

Accepted: 13th May 2026

Available online: 18th June 2026

Volume: 3

Issue: 2

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63090/IJTERS/3049.1614.0033>

Abstract

Student mental health and well-being have emerged as among the most urgent concerns confronting higher education institutions in the twenty-first century. Escalating rates of anxiety, depression, and psychological distress among university students have prompted calls for systemic, evidence-informed institutional responses. This theoretical and literature review synthesizes peer-reviewed research published between 2005 and 2025 to examine the multidimensional determinants of student mental health, the theoretical frameworks that inform institutional responses, and the evidence base for campus-based mental health interventions. Drawing on key frameworks including the biopsychosocial model, Self-Determination Theory (SDT), and Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory, the review critically evaluates the interplay of individual, relational, institutional, and societal factors that shape student psychological well-being. Key themes addressed include the prevalence and nature of mental health challenges among university students, the role of academic stress, social connectedness, financial hardship, and identity-related stressors, as well as the efficacy of counseling services, early intervention programs, and whole-university approaches to mental health promotion. The review concludes that isolated, clinically oriented responses are insufficient and that sustainable improvements in student well-being require coordinated, prevention-oriented, and structurally attentive institutional strategies.

Keywords: - Student Mental Health, Well-Being, Higher Education, Self-Determination Theory, Biopsychosocial Model, Ecological Systems Theory, Campus Counseling, Psychological Distress, Academic Stress.

I. INTRODUCTION

Higher education institutions have long been regarded as sites of intellectual growth, social development, and personal transformation. Yet over the past two decades, a mounting body of research has documented a parallel and deeply troubling trend: a significant and sustained deterioration in the mental health and psychological well-being of university students worldwide. Surveys conducted across North America, Europe, Australia, and Asia consistently report that substantial proportions of students experience clinically significant symptoms of anxiety, depression, and psychological distress during their time at university (Auerbach et al., 2018; Eisenberg et al., 2011). The World Health Organization's World Mental Health International College Student Initiative, which surveyed over 14,000 students across eight countries, found that approximately one in three first-year students met diagnostic criteria for at least one common mental health disorder (Auerbach et al., 2018).

These figures represent not merely a statistical concern but a profound human and institutional challenge. Mental health difficulties are among the strongest predictors of academic underperformance, withdrawal, and failure to complete degrees (Eisenberg et al., 2009; Storrie et al., 2010). They also carry enduring consequences for individuals' occupational functioning, relationship quality, and long-term health trajectories (Kessler et al., 2005). For institutions committed to student success and social equity, the imperative to understand and address the determinants of student mental health is therefore both ethical and strategic.

Despite growing institutional awareness and investment in campus counseling and mental health services, the evidence suggests that demand for support consistently outpaces available provision (Gallagher, 2014; Mowbray et al., 2006). This gap has intensified calls for a shift away from purely clinical, reactive models toward broader, prevention-oriented approaches that

address the environmental, relational, and structural conditions shaping student well-being (Keyes et al., 2012; Stallman, 2010). Central to this shift is the need for theoretically grounded frameworks that illuminate the complex, multilevel determinants of mental health in the university context.

This literature review is structured around five major sections. The first examines the prevalence and nature of mental health challenges among university students. The second reviews the principal theoretical frameworks informing the field. The third explores key determinants of student mental health, including academic stress, social connectedness, financial hardship, and identity-related factors. The fourth evaluates the evidence base for campus-based interventions. The fifth synthesizes key findings and proposes directions for future research and institutional practice.

II. PREVALENCE AND NATURE OF STUDENT MENTAL HEALTH CHALLENGES

2.1. Anxiety and Depression

Anxiety and depression are the most frequently reported mental health conditions among university students. Eisenberg et al. (2011) analyzed data from over 14,000 students at 26 U.S. universities and found that approximately 17% of students met screening criteria for depression and 10% for anxiety disorders, with considerably higher rates among students who had sought prior treatment. A comprehensive meta-analysis by Ibrahim et al. (2013), pooling data from 24 studies across 13 countries, reported a pooled prevalence of depression among university students of approximately 31%, substantially higher than age-matched population estimates in many national contexts.

The COVID-19 pandemic markedly exacerbated these trends. Studies conducted during the pandemic consistently documented sharp increases in symptoms of anxiety, depression, and loneliness among students as a consequence of social isolation, financial insecurity, disruption to academic routines, and uncertainty about the future (Hamza et al., 2020; Son et al., 2020). Hamza et al. (2020), surveying over 2,000 Canadian undergraduates during the early months of the pandemic, found that more than half reported moderate to severe symptoms of depression and anxiety, representing a dramatic increase from pre-pandemic baseline surveys.

2.2. Psychological Distress and Suicidality

Beyond diagnosable disorders, a broad spectrum of psychological distress, encompassing stress, burnout, emotional exhaustion, and impaired well-being, affects large segments of the student population (Stallman, 2010). Kessler et al. (2005) highlighted that the transition to adulthood, which coincides for many individuals with the university years, represents a peak period for the onset of mental health disorders, underscoring the particular developmental vulnerability of this population.

Suicidality represents the most acute manifestation of student mental health crisis. Mortier et al. (2018), analyzing data from the WHO World Mental Health International College Student Initiative, found that 20.3% of first-year students reported lifetime suicidal ideation and 9.4% reported lifetime suicide attempts. These figures are particularly striking given that the college years represent the life stage during which mental health conditions most commonly emerge, and they underscore the moral urgency of institutional prevention and response frameworks.

III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

3.1. The Biopsychosocial Model

Originally proposed by Engel (1977) as a challenge to the reductive biomedical model of illness, the biopsychosocial model posits that health and well-being are determined by the dynamic interaction of biological, psychological, and social factors. Applied to student mental health, this framework directs attention beyond individual psychopathology toward the broader social and institutional conditions in which students are embedded. Biological vulnerabilities such as genetic predispositions to anxiety or depression interact with psychological factors such as perfectionism, rumination, and self-efficacy, and with social factors such as peer relationships, institutional climate, and socioeconomic circumstances to produce mental health outcomes (Stallman, 2010).

The biopsychosocial model has been influential in shifting university mental health policy and practice away from purely clinical, illness-oriented frameworks toward more comprehensive approaches that acknowledge the institutional and social co-determinants of student distress (Keyes et al., 2012). It provides the conceptual foundation for whole-university approaches to mental health promotion, which seek to modify the environmental and cultural conditions of campus life rather than simply treating individual students who present in distress.

3.2. Self-Determination Theory (SDT)

Self-Determination Theory, developed by Deci and Ryan (2000), offers a motivational framework with significant implications for understanding student well-being. SDT proposes that psychological well-being is contingent on the satisfaction of three basic psychological needs: autonomy (the experience of volition and self-endorsement in one's actions), competence (the experience of effectiveness and mastery), and relatedness (the experience of meaningful connection with others). When these needs are satisfied within the academic environment, students are more likely to experience intrinsic motivation, engagement, and positive well-being; when they are frustrated, distress, disengagement, and ill-being are the likely consequences.

A substantial body of empirical research has applied SDT to the higher education context, consistently finding that need satisfaction mediates the relationship between institutional practices and student well-being outcomes. Niemiec and Ryan (2009) reviewed SDT-based research in educational settings and concluded that autonomy-supportive teaching practices, which provide students with meaningful choice, rationale for academic tasks, and acknowledgment of their perspectives, are positively associated with intrinsic motivation, academic engagement, and psychological well-being. Conversely, controlling

instructional environments that undermine student autonomy are associated with increased anxiety, diminished self-esteem, and reduced vitality.

3.3. Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory

Bronfenbrenner's (1979) ecological systems theory provides a multilevel framework for conceptualizing the nested environmental contexts that shape human development and well-being. The theory identifies a series of concentric systems, from the microsystem (immediate settings such as family, peers, and classrooms) through the mesosystem (interactions among microsystems), exosystem (broader social structures), and macrosystem (cultural values, policies, and ideologies), to the chronosystem (the dimension of time and historical change).

Applied to student mental health, ecological systems theory draws attention to the multiple levels at which interventions can and should operate. At the microsystem level, the quality of student-faculty relationships, peer social networks, and residential community environments all constitute significant influences on well-being (Hurtado et al., 2012). At the mesosystem level, the coherence and coordination among academic departments, student services, and counseling provisions shapes the quality of institutional support available to students in distress. At the macrosystem level, broader cultural attitudes toward mental health help-seeking, stigma, and the commodification of higher education shape the contexts in which student distress is experienced and expressed (Wynaden et al., 2014).

IV. KEY DETERMINANTS OF STUDENT MENTAL HEALTH

4.1. Academic Stress and Performance Pressure

Academic stress is among the most consistently documented antecedents of psychological distress among university students. The demands of coursework, examination pressure, time management challenges, and uncertainty about academic performance create chronic stressors that tax students' coping resources and contribute to anxiety, depression, and burnout (Beiter et al., 2015; Robotham & Julian, 2006). Beiter et al. (2015), surveying undergraduate students at a U.S. university, identified academic performance as the single greatest source of student stress, endorsed by over 68% of respondents.

The rise of perfectionism as a culturally sanctioned orientation toward academic achievement has received particular scholarly attention. Curran and Hill (2019) conducted a meta-analysis of perfectionism trends among college students across three decades and found significant increases in all three dimensions of perfectionism, particularly socially prescribed perfectionism, that is, the perception that others hold unrealistically high standards for one's performance. Socially prescribed perfectionism was the dimension most strongly associated with depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation, suggesting that the broader cultural environment of competitive higher education may itself be a significant contributor to student psychological distress.

4.2. Social Connectedness and Belonging

A robust evidence base supports the conclusion that social connectedness, encompassing the quality of peer relationships, sense of belonging, and integration into campus community, is a protective factor of central importance for student mental health. Strayhorn (2012) conceptualized belonging as a fundamental human need that, when met within the university environment, promotes academic motivation, persistence, and well-being. Conversely, loneliness and social isolation have been identified as among the strongest predictors of depression and anxiety in student populations (Segrin & Passalacqua, 2010).

The transition to university is a particularly vulnerable period for social connectedness, as students navigate the disruption of existing social networks and the challenge of forming new relationships in an unfamiliar environment. First-generation college students, international students, and students from underrepresented minority backgrounds frequently report lower levels of campus belonging and higher levels of social isolation, reflecting the ways in which institutional cultures and social structures can differentially distribute the protective benefits of community membership (Hurtado et al., 2012).

4.3. Financial Hardship

Financial stress represents a significant and often underappreciated determinant of student mental health. The rising cost of higher education, compounded by student loan debt, housing insecurity, food insecurity, and the need to engage in paid employment alongside full-time study, creates material conditions that substantially elevate psychological risk (Eisenberg et al., 2009; Richardson et al., 2017). Richardson et al. (2017), in a systematic review of financial difficulties and student mental health, found consistent associations between financial stress and poor mental health outcomes, with effects that persisted even after controlling for pre-existing mental health conditions.

Students from low-income backgrounds bear a disproportionate mental health burden associated with financial hardship. These students must simultaneously navigate the academic demands of higher education and the material precarity of insufficient financial resources, often without access to the social capital and family support that buffer more advantaged students against stress. The intersection of financial hardship with other marginalized social positions, including being a first-generation student, a student of color, or a student with caring responsibilities, compounds this burden considerably (Strayhorn, 2012).

4.4. Identity, Diversity, and Marginalization

A growing strand of research has examined the mental health implications of identity and social marginalization within university contexts. Students who belong to groups historically underrepresented or marginalized in higher education, including racial and ethnic minority students, LGBTQ+ students, students with disabilities, and international students, frequently report elevated rates of psychological distress associated with experiences of discrimination, microaggressions,

cultural alienation, and the psychological burden of navigating institutional environments not designed with their experiences in mind (Holley & Steeley, 2017; Wynaden et al., 2014).

Minority stress theory, originally developed by Meyer (2003) to account for the excess burden of psychological distress experienced by sexual minorities, has been extended to a range of marginalized student populations. The theory identifies chronic stressors specific to minority status, including prejudice events, expectations of rejection, internalized stigma, and identity concealment, as proximal causes of mental health disparities. For universities genuinely committed to equity and inclusion, addressing the structural and cultural conditions that generate minority stress is therefore a matter of both moral obligation and mental health strategy.

V. CAMPUS-BASED MENTAL HEALTH INTERVENTIONS

5.1. Counseling and Clinical Services

Campus counseling centers represent the most established institutional response to student mental health need. However, the demand for counseling services consistently and substantially exceeds available provision at most institutions, resulting in long waiting times, session limits, and the triage of only the most acute presentations (Gallagher, 2014). These structural constraints mean that counseling services, while essential, cannot on their own constitute an adequate institutional response to the breadth and depth of student mental health need.

Stepped-care models have been proposed as a more resource-efficient approach to organizing campus mental health provision. In a stepped-care framework, students are matched to the lowest intensity of support that is likely to be effective for their level of need, with pathways for escalation to more intensive interventions if initial provision proves insufficient (Keyes et al., 2012). This approach integrates self-help resources, peer support programs, brief psychological interventions, and specialist clinical services into a coherent continuum of care, potentially extending institutional reach while optimizing the use of specialist resources.

5.2. Whole-University Approaches

Increasingly influential in the international literature is the concept of the whole-university approach to mental health, sometimes framed as the mentally healthy university model. This approach, drawing on public health principles and ecological systems theory, frames student mental health as a shared institutional responsibility rather than the exclusive domain of specialist clinical services. It calls for coordinated action across the full range of university functions, including curriculum design, campus culture, student services, academic policies, and community partnerships, to create environments that actively promote student well-being rather than simply responding to distress (Thorley, 2017).

Evidence for the effectiveness of whole-university approaches is accumulating, though the field is still developing robust evaluation methodologies suited to complex, multilevel interventions. Stallman (2010) argued that the dominant clinical orientation of campus mental health services reflects a fundamental category error: treating what is, in significant part, a public health and institutional design problem as though it were a clinical one. Reframing student mental health in population and ecological terms, she contended, is a prerequisite for developing responses commensurate with the scale of the challenge.

5.3. Peer Support and Digital Interventions

Peer support programs, in which trained student volunteers provide non-clinical mental health support to fellow students, have grown considerably in scope and sophistication in recent years. These programs capitalize on the well-documented influence of peer relationships on student well-being and help-seeking behavior, and they represent a cost-effective mechanism for extending institutional reach beyond formal clinical services (Byrom, 2018). Evidence suggests that peer supporters can effectively reduce stigma, facilitate early help-seeking, and provide meaningful emotional support, provided that they receive adequate training, supervision, and institutional backing.

Digital mental health interventions, including web-based and mobile application platforms for psychological self-help, mindfulness, and cognitive-behavioral skill building, have attracted growing research attention as scalable complements to face-to-face provision. Davies et al. (2014) conducted a meta-analysis of internet-delivered cognitive-behavioral therapy (iCBT) for depression and anxiety and found effect sizes comparable to those of face-to-face delivery, particularly when guided by a therapist or coach. The appeal of digital interventions for student populations is considerable, given their accessibility, anonymity, flexibility around study schedules, and potential to reduce the stigma associated with help-seeking.

VI. DISCUSSION

The literature reviewed in the preceding sections reveals a field grappling with a challenge of considerable complexity and urgency. Student mental health is not a monolithic or static phenomenon but a dynamic outcome shaped by the interplay of individual vulnerabilities, relational contexts, institutional structures, and broader sociocultural forces. The theoretical frameworks reviewed here, particularly the biopsychosocial model, Self-Determination Theory, and ecological systems theory, collectively underscore that any adequate institutional response must be multilevel, prevention-oriented, and structurally attentive.

A central tension emerging from the literature is the gap between the scale of student mental health need and the predominantly clinical, individually oriented frameworks through which that need is most commonly addressed. As Stallman (2010) and others have persuasively argued, the framing of student distress primarily as individual psychopathology requiring clinical treatment tends to individualize what are in significant part structural problems, locating the source of distress in the student rather than in the conditions of their institutional experience. The growing evidence base for whole-university approaches represents an important corrective to this tendency, though substantial methodological and implementation challenges remain.

The equity dimensions of student mental health are particularly salient and deserve explicit institutional attention. The evidence consistently shows that mental health burden is not uniformly distributed across student populations but is disproportionately concentrated among students who occupy marginalized social positions. Financial hardship, minority stress, and the absence of culturally responsive institutional support each contribute to differential mental health outcomes that mirror and reproduce broader social inequalities (Meyer, 2003; Strayhorn, 2012). Institutions that frame mental health promotion as separate from equity and inclusion agendas risk missing the structural determinants of the disparities they observe.

Finally, the evidence highlights the important role of the relational dimensions of campus life in shaping student well-being. The quality of student-faculty relationships, peer social networks, and sense of institutional belonging emerge consistently across theoretical frameworks and empirical studies as significant protective factors. These relational dimensions are not incidental to institutional functioning but are constitutive of the educational environment itself, suggesting that the promotion of student mental health is not separable from the quality of teaching, community life, and institutional culture more broadly.

VII. CONCLUSION

This literature review has synthesized theoretical and empirical research on student mental health and well-being in higher education, examining the prevalence and nature of psychological distress, the theoretical frameworks that inform understanding and intervention, the key determinants of student mental health, and the evidence base for campus-based interventions. The review finds broad convergence in the literature around several conclusions: that rates of student psychological distress are high and have increased over recent decades; that mental health is determined by multiple interacting factors at individual, relational, and structural levels; that current institutional responses are insufficient in scale and scope; and that sustainable progress requires a fundamental reorientation from reactive, clinical provision toward proactive, whole-university approaches.

For institutional leaders and policymakers, the evidence reviewed here supports significant investment in coordinated, prevention-oriented mental health strategies that engage the full range of university functions. For researchers, the field would benefit from more robust longitudinal designs, participatory methodologies that center student voices, and evaluation frameworks capable of capturing the complex, multilevel effects of whole-university interventions. For faculty and student-facing staff, the literature underscores the importance of creating relational, autonomy-supportive academic environments and developing the awareness and skills to recognize and respond to student distress.

Ultimately, the mental health of university students is not a peripheral concern or a specialist clinical problem but a central indicator of the quality and humanity of higher education institutions. Meeting the challenge of student mental health in the twenty-first century will require the sustained commitment of institutions that understand well-being not as an add-on to their educational mission but as its indispensable foundation.

REFERENCES

- Auerbach, R. P., Mortier, P., Bruffaerts, R., Alonso, J., Benjet, C., Cuijpers, P., & Kessler, R. C. (2018). WHO World Mental Health Surveys International College Student Project: Prevalence and distribution of mental disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 127*(7), 623–638. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000362>
- Beiter, R., Nash, R., McCrady, M., Rhoades, D., Linscomb, M., Clarahan, M., & Sammut, S. (2015). The prevalence and correlates of depression, anxiety, and stress in a sample of college students. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 173*, 90–96.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.
- Byrom, N. (2018). An evaluation of a peer support intervention for student mental health. *Journal of Mental Health, 27*(3), 240–246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2018.1437605>
- Curran, T., & Hill, A. P. (2019). Perfectionism is increasing over time: A meta-analysis of birth cohort differences from 1989 to 2016. *Psychological Bulletin, 145*(4), 410–429. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000138>
- Davies, E. B., Morriss, R., & Glazebrook, C. (2014). Computer-delivered and web-based interventions to improve depression, anxiety, and psychological well-being of university students: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 16*(5), Article e130. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.3142>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- Eisenberg, D., Golberstein, E., & Hunt, J. B. (2009). Mental health and academic success in college. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy, 9*(1), 1–37. <https://doi.org/10.2202/1935-1682.2191>
- Eisenberg, D., Hunt, J., & Speer, N. (2011). Mental health in American colleges and universities: Variation across student subgroups and across campuses. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 199*(2), 60–67.
- Engel, G. L. (1977). The need for a new medical model: A challenge for biomedicine. *Science, 196*(4286), 129–136. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.847460>
- Gallagher, R. P. (2014). *National survey of college counseling centers 2014*. International Association of Counseling Services.
- Hamza, C. A., Ewing, L., Heath, N. L., & Goldstein, A. L. (2020). When social isolation is nothing new: A longitudinal study on psychological distress during COVID-19 among university students with and without preexisting mental health concerns. *Canadian Psychology, 62*(1), 20–30. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cap0000255>
- Holley, L. C., & Steeley, S. L. (2017). Microaggressions and the mental health of minority students. In A. W. Blume (Ed.), *Social issues in living color: Challenges and solutions from the perspective of ethnic minority psychology* (Vol. 2, pp. 1–26). Praeger.
- Hurtado, S., Alvarez, C. L., Guillermo-Wann, C., Cuellar, M., & Arellano, L. (2012). A model for diverse learning environments: The scholarship on creating and assessing conditions for student success. *Higher Education: Handbook of Theory and Research, 27*, 41–122. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-2950-6_2
- Ibrahim, A. K., Kelly, S. J., Adams, C. E., & Glazebrook, C. (2013). A systematic review of studies of depression prevalence in university students. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 47*(3), 391–400.
- Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Merikangas, K. R., & Walters, E. E. (2005). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 62*(6), 593–602. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593>

- Keyes, C. L. M., Eisenberg, D., Perry, G. S., Dube, S. R., Kroenke, K., & Dhingra, S. S. (2012). The relationship of level of positive mental health with current mental disorders in predicting suicidal behavior and academic impairment in college students. *Journal of American College Health, 60*(2), 126–133. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2011.608393>
- Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin, 129*(5), 674–697. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674>
- Mortier, P., Cuijpers, P., Kiekens, G., Auerbach, R. P., Demyttenaere, K., Green, J. G., & Bruffaerts, R. (2018). The prevalence of suicidal thoughts and behaviours among college students: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine, 48*(4), 554–565. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717002215>
- Mowbray, C. T., Megivern, D., Mandiberg, J. M., Strauss, S., Stein, C. H., Collins, K., & Lett, R. (2006). Campus mental health services: Recommendations for change. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 76*(2), 226–237. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0002-9432.76.2.226>
- Niemiec, C. P., & Ryan, R. M. (2009). Autonomy, competence, and relatedness in the classroom: Applying self-determination theory to educational practice. *Theory and Research in Education, 7*(2), 133–144.
- Richardson, T., Elliott, P., & Roberts, R. (2017). The relationship between personal unsecured debt and mental and physical health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review, 33*(8), 1148–1162.
- Robotham, D., & Julian, C. (2006). Stress and the higher education student: A critical review of the literature. *Journal of Further and Higher Education, 30*(2), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098770600617513>
- Segrin, C., & Passalacqua, S. A. (2010). Functions of loneliness, social support, health behaviors, and stress in association with poor health. *Health Communication, 25*(4), 312–322. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410231003773334>
- Son, C., Hegde, S., Smith, A., Wang, X., & Sasangohar, F. (2020). Effects of COVID-19 on college students' mental health in the United States: Interview survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22*(9), Article e21279. <https://doi.org/10.2196/21279>
- Stallman, H. M. (2010). Psychological distress in university students: A comparison with general population data. *Australian Psychologist, 45*(4), 249–257. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00050067.2010.482109>
- Storrie, K., Ahern, K., & Tuckett, A. (2010). A systematic review: Students with mental health problems: A growing problem. *International Journal of Nursing Practice, 16*(1), 1–6.
- Strayhorn, T. L. (2012). *College students' sense of belonging: A key to educational success for all students*. Routledge.
- Thorley, C. (2017). *Not by degrees: Improving student mental health in the UK's universities*. Institute for Public Policy Research.
- Wynaden, D., Wichmann, H., & Murray, S. (2014). A synopsis of the mental health concerns of university students: Results of a text-based online survey from one Australian university. *Higher Education Research & Development, 33*(1), 168–181.