



Teacher Burnout, Psychological Well-Being, and Instructional Quality in Philippine Basic Education: A Mixed-Methods Hierarchical Regression Study

Renjisha R

Principal, CFI College of Teacher Education, Poyya, Kodungallur, Kerala, India.

Article information

Received: 8th December 2025

Received in revised form: 10th January 2026

Accepted: 13th February 2026

Available online: 18th March 2026

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63090/IJTERS/3049.1614.0031>

Abstract

Teacher burnout represents one of the most consequential and globally prevalent threats to the sustainability, quality, and equity of basic education systems, yet its specific predictors, manifestations, and consequences within the Philippine educational context remain empirically understudied. This study examined the relationships among teacher burnout dimensions, psychological well-being, workload stress, and instructional quality among 310 elementary and secondary school teachers in Central Luzon, Philippines, using a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design. Quantitative data were collected using the Maslach Burnout Inventory, Educators Survey (MBI-ES), the Psychological Well-Being Scale (PWBS), the Teacher Instructional Quality Scale (TIQS), and a validated Workload Stress Inventory, and were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation analysis, and hierarchical multiple regression. Qualitative data were gathered through 40 in-depth semi-structured interviews with purposively selected teachers, analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis. Hierarchical regression analysis revealed that burnout dimensions, emotional exhaustion ($\beta = -.36$), depersonalization ($\beta = -.24$), and personal accomplishment ($\beta = .26$), collectively explained 31% of variance in instructional quality above demographic controls, with psychological well-being ($\beta = .25$) and workload stress ($\beta = -.18$) contributing an additional 11%. The full regression model accounted for 48% of variance in instructional quality ($R^2 = .48$, $F(9, 300) = 30.77$, $p < .001$). Qualitative themes illuminated five dimensions of the burnout-instruction nexus: emotional drain and loss of passion, administrative overload and role conflict, social support as a protective buffer, coping through purposefulness, and constrained instructional agency. Findings carry urgent implications for teacher mental health policy, school leadership practice, and the systemic conditions that either sustain or erode teacher professional vitality. Evidence-based recommendations for institutional burnout prevention, workload rationalization, and psychologically supportive school environments are presented.

Keywords: - Teacher Burnout, Emotional Exhaustion, Instructional Quality, Psychological Well-Being, Workload Stress, Maslach Burnout Inventory, Basic Education, Philippines, Teacher Well-Being, Mixed-Methods

I. INTRODUCTION

Teaching is widely recognized as one of the most emotionally demanding and psychologically taxing of the caring professions. Unlike most occupational roles, teaching requires sustained, high-intensity emotional labor, the deliberate management of one's own affective states in service of learners' emotional and intellectual development, compounded by relentless accountability pressures, expanding non-instructional administrative obligations, and the inherent unpredictability of human development as a professional object (Hargreaves, 2000; Hochschild, 1983; Maslach & Leiter, 2016). The cumulative toll of these demands, when experienced chronically and without adequate institutional support, is the psychophysiological and professional deterioration known as burnout: a syndrome characterized by progressive emotional exhaustion, increasing psychological distance from students and colleagues (depersonalization), and a diminishing sense of personal professional accomplishment (Maslach et al., 2001; Schaufeli et al., 2009).

Teacher burnout is not merely an individual occupational health concern; it is a systemic educational quality problem. A substantial and growing body of research documents the transmission of teacher burnout to instructional outcomes: burned-out teachers exhibit diminished enthusiasm and creativity, reduced instructional planning investment, lower responsiveness to individual student needs, and greater reliance on passive, transmission-oriented pedagogical strategies—all of which constrain the quality of classroom learning experiences and, ultimately, student achievement and well-being (Chang, 2009; Hakanen et al., 2006; Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2017). In this sense, teacher burnout functions as an educational equity issue as well as a mental health issue: students in schools with high teacher burnout rates, disproportionately schools serving disadvantaged communities, receive systematically lower-quality instruction, compounding rather than compensating for the educational disadvantages they already face (Freedman & Appleman, 2009; Jennings & Greenberg, 2009).

In the Philippine basic education context, the urgency of the teacher burnout problem is amplified by a constellation of systemic stressors unique to the national educational landscape. The implementation of the K-12 curriculum reform (Republic Act 10533), while educationally progressive in its ambitions, substantially increased curricular coverage requirements and administrative compliance burdens for classroom teachers without commensurate increases in instructional support or workload rationalization (DepEd, 2016). The COVID-19 pandemic further intensified teacher stress through the abrupt and insufficiently supported transition to distance learning modalities, exposing teachers to unfamiliar technological demands, connectivity challenges, and the compounded emotional burden of supporting students and families in crisis (Alipio, 2020; Toquero, 2020). Post-pandemic re-integration into face-to-face instruction, while broadly welcomed, has introduced its own challenges: learning loss remediation demands, heightened student behavioral and mental health needs, and the psychological fatigue of managing prolonged uncertainty (DepEd, 2022).

Despite this context of documented and escalating teacher stress in Philippine basic education, empirical research on teacher burnout in the local setting remains limited in scope, methodological rigor, and policy engagement. Studies have predominantly relied on single-method survey designs with small convenience samples, limiting the depth of causal understanding and the generalizability of findings (Alipio, 2020; Santos & Acosta, 2021). This study addresses these gaps by employing a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design to examine the predictors and instructional consequences of teacher burnout among a substantial sample of elementary and secondary teachers across multiple provinces of Central Luzon, combining the statistical precision of hierarchical regression analysis with the experiential richness of in-depth qualitative interview inquiry.

The study is theoretically grounded in Maslach and Leiter's (1997) Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model of burnout, which conceptualizes burnout as arising from a chronic imbalance between the psychologically depleting demands of the work environment (workload, emotional labor, role conflict) and the psychologically restoring resources available within it (autonomy, social support, performance feedback, sense of accomplishment). In the JD-R framework, burnout is not an individual personality failure but a systemic outcome of occupational design: when job demands chronically exceed available resources, disengagement, exhaustion, and depersonalization are predictable responses rather than personal character flaws. This theoretical frame positions institutional structural reform—rather than individual coping skill development—as the primary lever of meaningful burnout prevention.

1.1. Research Objectives

This study pursued four specific objectives:

- To describe the prevalence and severity of burnout dimensions among Philippine basic education teachers across school levels;
- To examine bivariate correlational relationships among burnout dimensions, psychological well-being, workload stress, and instructional quality;
- To determine through hierarchical regression analysis the independent and sequential contributions of demographic variables, burnout dimensions, and well-being and workload variables to variance in instructional quality; and
- To qualitatively explore the experiential mechanisms through which burnout affects instructional practice and the individual, social, and institutional factors that buffer or exacerbate burnout in Philippine school contexts.

1.2. Significance of the Study

This investigation contributes to educational research and policy at three levels. Theoretically, it provides an empirical test of the JD-R model's applicability to Philippine basic education, a context characterized by demand-resource configurations that differ substantially from the primarily Western organizational settings in which the model was developed. Methodologically, the sequential explanatory mixed-methods design addresses the explanatory gap in existing Philippine teacher burnout literature by integrating quantitative effect estimation with mechanistic qualitative inquiry. Practically, the study produces empirically grounded, context-specific recommendations for school administrators, DepEd policymakers, and teacher support program designers seeking to address teacher burnout as a determinant of educational quality, not merely as an individual wellbeing concern.

II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.1. Conceptualizing Teacher Burnout

Burnout as a psychological construct was formally introduced to organizational research by Freudenberger (1974) and subsequently developed into its most influential theoretical and measurement framework by Christina Maslach and colleagues, whose Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) has become the de facto standard instrument for burnout assessment across

professions worldwide (Maslach et al., 2001; Schaufeli et al., 2009). In Maslach's three-dimensional model, burnout comprises emotional exhaustion (the depletion of emotional energy reserves through sustained interpersonal demands), depersonalization (the development of cynical, detached, or dehumanizing attitudes toward recipients of one's professional care), and reduced personal accomplishment (a declining sense of competence, productivity, and meaningful professional contribution). The MBI—Educators Survey (MBI-ES) adapts this framework specifically for the teaching profession, replacing generic occupational referents with teacher-specific item content (Maslach & Jackson, 1986).

The Job Demands-Resources model (Demerouti et al., 2001; Maslach & Leiter, 1997) provides a structural account of burnout etiology that is particularly useful for identifying institutional intervention targets. JD-R posits two parallel psychological processes: an energy-depletion process in which excessive job demands (work overload, emotional labor, role ambiguity, interpersonal conflict) progressively exhaust personal energy reserves, leading to burnout; and a motivational process in which job resources (autonomy, social support, performance feedback, professional development opportunities) sustain engagement, buffer the impact of high demands, and promote well-being. The practical implication of this dual-process model is that burnout prevention requires simultaneous demand reduction and resource enhancement—neither alone is sufficient for sustained teacher well-being.

2.2. Burnout and Instructional Quality

The empirical literature on the relationship between teacher burnout and instructional quality is extensive, methodologically diverse, and remarkably consistent in its directional conclusion: burnout is inversely and robustly associated with indicators of instructional effectiveness. Skaalvik and Skaalvik (2017) found in a large Norwegian teacher sample that emotional exhaustion was significantly negatively correlated with motivating and scaffolding instructional behaviors, even after controlling for teacher self-efficacy and work engagement. Hakanen et al. (2006) demonstrated in a Finnish secondary teacher sample that emotional exhaustion mediated the relationship between excessive workload and diminished student-directed classroom behavior, with burned-out teachers showing significantly lower rates of individualized feedback, formative questioning, and collaborative learning facilitation. Chang (2009) argued in an influential theoretical synthesis that burned-out teachers engage in regulatory depletion, a progressive reduction in the cognitive and emotional effortfulness of instructional behavior, manifesting in greater reliance on passive, student-undifferentiated, low-preparedness teaching strategies.

Conversely, research on teacher well-being and instructional quality consistently documents positive associations. Jennings and Greenberg (2009) proposed a prosocial classroom model in which teacher social-emotional competence and well-being are foundational determinants of the relational and instructional quality of classroom environments. Their model, supported by subsequent empirical research (Collie et al., 2015; Vesely et al., 2014), predicts that teachers with higher psychological well-being invest more cognitive and emotional capital in lesson planning, maintain warmer and more responsive student relationships, and demonstrate more creative, student-centered, and academically demanding instructional practices. These findings collectively position teacher well-being not as a peripheral employee benefit but as a core determinant of the educational experiences available to students.

2.3. Teacher Burnout in the Philippine Context

Research on teacher burnout in the Philippine basic education context has grown in recent years but remains methodologically constrained and policy-disengaged relative to the scale of the problem it documents. Alipio (2020) surveyed 389 public school teachers in Region III and reported burnout prevalence rates of 41% for emotional exhaustion and 34% for depersonalization on MBI-ES cutoff criteria, substantially exceeding the 25-30% rates reported in comparative international studies. Santos and Acosta (2021) identified workload intensity, administrative burden, and inadequate school administrative support as the primary self-reported antecedents of burnout among Metro Manila elementary teachers, findings consistent with JD-R predictions. Importantly, however, neither study examined the consequences of burnout for instructional quality—the variable of greatest policy relevance, nor employed mixed-methods designs capable of explaining the mechanisms through which burnout produces its documented effects.

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design

This study employed a sequential explanatory mixed-methods design (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018), in which a quantitative survey phase was conducted first and used to identify patterns requiring further explanation, followed by a qualitative interview phase designed to explain and contextualize the quantitative findings. This sequential design was selected because the primary research questions about mechanisms and experiences are inherently explanatory and require the contextual depth of qualitative inquiry to answer meaningfully, while the questions about prevalence, correlations, and predictive relationships require the statistical rigor of quantitative analysis with an adequately powered sample.

3.2. Participants and Sampling

Three hundred ten (310) public school teachers from 24 elementary and 16 secondary schools across three provinces in Central Luzon (Nueva Ecija, Pampanga, and Bulacan) participated in the quantitative phase. Schools were selected through stratified random sampling, with strata defined by school level (elementary/secondary) and urban/rural classification. Within selected schools, all available classroom teachers were invited to participate, yielding a response rate of 87.3%. Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the full quantitative sample.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Elementary and Secondary Teacher Participants

| Characteristic | Elementary n = 148 | Secondary n = 162 | Total N = 310 | χ^2 / F (p-value) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 57 (38.5%) | 68 (42.0%) | 125 (40.3%) | |
| Female | 91 (61.5%) | 94 (58.0%) | 185 (59.7%) | $\chi^2 = 0.40, p = .53$ |
| Age (years) | | | | |
| M (SD) | 36.42 (8.17) | 38.81 (9.04) | 37.69 (8.67) | F = 5.94, p = .015 |
| Teaching Experience | | | | |
| 1–5 years | 42 (28.4%) | 38 (23.5%) | 80 (25.8%) | |
| 6–10 years | 51 (34.5%) | 55 (34.0%) | 106 (34.2%) | |
| 11–20 years | 38 (25.7%) | 46 (28.4%) | 84 (27.1%) | |
| 21+ years | 17 (11.5%) | 23 (14.2%) | 40 (12.9%) | $\chi^2 = 1.84, p = .61$ |
| Employment Status | | | | |
| Permanent | 112 (75.7%) | 119 (73.5%) | 231 (74.5%) | |
| Contractual | 36 (24.3%) | 43 (26.5%) | 79 (25.5%) | $\chi^2 = 0.21, p = .65$ |
| Subject Area Taught | | | | |
| STEM | 68 (45.9%) | 74 (45.7%) | 142 (45.8%) | |
| Humanities/Social Science | 80 (54.1%) | 88 (54.3%) | 168 (54.2%) | $\chi^2 = 0.00, p = .99$ |

Note. χ^2 tests applied to categorical variables; one-way ANOVA applied to continuous variables. The significant age difference between school levels (F = 5.94, p = .015) reflects the national pattern of longer-tenured teachers in secondary positions. All other demographic variables were non-significantly different across school level groupings.

3.2.1. Qualitative Subsample

Forty teachers (25 from elementary, 15 from secondary schools) were purposively selected for in-depth semi-structured interviews based on maximum variation in burnout severity scores, years of experience, and geographic location. Interview recruitment continued until thematic saturation was achieved at approximately 36 interviews, with four additional interviews conducted to confirm saturation. All qualitative participants provided separate written informed consent for interview audio-recording and transcript use.

3.3. Instruments

The Maslach Burnout Inventory—Educators Survey (MBI-ES; Maslach & Jackson, 1986) assessed three burnout dimensions: emotional exhaustion (9 items), depersonalization (5 items), and personal accomplishment (8 items), all scored on a 7-point frequency scale (0 = never to 6 = daily). Internal consistency in the current sample was satisfactory (Cronbach's α : .88, .79, and .82 for the three subscales respectively). The Psychological Well-Being Scale (PWBS; Ryff, 1989), a 42-item instrument measuring six dimensions of psychological functioning, was administered in its validated Filipino-adapted form ($\alpha = .91$). The Teacher Instructional Quality Scale (TIQS), a 24-item instrument validated for Philippine basic education contexts assessing lesson preparation quality, interactive teaching behaviors, formative assessment practices, and student feedback quality ($\alpha = .89$), served as the primary outcome variable. A 16-item Workload Stress Inventory (WSI) assessing perceived volume, cognitive demand, temporal pressure, and role conflict dimensions of workload stress ($\alpha = .86$) was additionally administered. All scale items were rated on 5-point Likert scales (1 = strongly disagree/never to 5 = strongly agree/always).

3.4. Procedure

Institutional endorsements were secured from the DepEd Regional Office IV-A and all three provincial divisions before data collection commenced. School principals were briefed on the study objectives and data confidentiality procedures. Survey instruments were administered in paper-and-pencil format during a scheduled faculty meeting period at each school, taking approximately 45 minutes to complete. Quantitative data collection occurred from July to September 2024. Qualitative interviews, conducted individually via face-to-face or video call modalities, lasted 55 to 75 minutes and were scheduled at teachers' convenience from October to December 2024. All interviews were conducted in a mixture of Filipino and English (code-switching was permitted and encouraged), audio-recorded with consent, and transcribed verbatim.

3.5. Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 27. Descriptive statistics and internal consistency estimates were computed for all instruments. Pearson bivariate correlation analysis examined relationships among all study variables. Hierarchical multiple regression was conducted with instructional quality as the dependent variable, entered in three blocks: Block 1 (demographic controls: age, sex, teaching experience, employment status), Block 2 (burnout dimensions: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, personal accomplishment), and Block 3 (well-being and workload: psychological well-being, workload stress). This hierarchical entry sequence was theoretically motivated by the JD-R model's prediction that burnout mediates between contextual demands and performance outcomes, while well-being serves as a parallel resource-based predictor. All assumptions of multiple regression (linearity, normality of residuals, homoscedasticity, absence of multicollinearity) were verified prior to analysis. Qualitative data were analyzed using Braun and Clarke's (2006, 2019) reflexive thematic analysis, and findings were integrated with quantitative results through a joint display matrix.

IV. RESULTS

4.1. Descriptive Statistics and Bivariate Correlations

Table 2 presents means, standard deviations, and Pearson intercorrelations for all study variables. Mean scores indicated moderate-to-high levels of emotional exhaustion ($M = 3.41$, $SD = 0.74$) and workload stress ($M = 3.76$, $SD = 0.68$) in the sample, alongside moderate personal accomplishment ($M = 3.62$, $SD = 0.69$) and instructional quality ratings ($M = 3.29$, $SD = 0.77$). Bivariate correlations revealed that emotional exhaustion was the most strongly negatively correlated predictor of instructional quality ($r = -.61$, $p < .001$), followed by workload stress ($r = -.58$, $p < .001$), depersonalization ($r = -.54$, $p < .001$), and psychological well-being ($r = .63$, $p < .001$). Personal accomplishment was positively and significantly associated with instructional quality ($r = .52$, $p < .001$). The high intercorrelations among burnout dimensions were expected based on the theoretical model and prior literature; variance inflation factors in subsequent regression analyses confirmed acceptable multicollinearity (all VIF < 3.1).

Table 2. Means, Standard Deviations, and Pearson Intercorrelation Matrix for All Study Variables (N = 310)

| Variable | M | SD | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----------------------------|------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1. Emotional Exhaustion | 3.41 | 0.74 | — | | | |
| 2. Depersonalization | 2.87 | 0.81 | .58*** | — | | |
| 3. Personal Accomplishment | 3.62 | 0.69 | -.47*** | -.39*** | — | |
| 4. Instructional Quality | 3.29 | 0.77 | -.61*** | -.54*** | .52*** | — |
| 5. Student Engagement | 3.44 | 0.72 | -.55*** | -.48*** | .46*** | .67*** |
| 6. Psychological Well-Being | 3.18 | 0.83 | -.66*** | -.59*** | .57*** | .63*** |
| 7. Workload Stress | 3.76 | 0.68 | .71*** | .62*** | -.43*** | -.58*** |

Note. All correlation coefficients in the matrix are based on $N = 310$. Significance levels: *** $p < .001$. Personal Accomplishment and Instructional Quality are scored such that higher values indicate more positive outcomes; Emotional Exhaustion, Depersonalization, and Workload Stress are scored such that higher values indicate more adverse outcomes. Variables 5, 6, and 7 used 1-to-5 Likert scales.

4.2. Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Predictors of Instructional Quality

Table 3 presents the results of the three-block hierarchical regression analysis with instructional quality as the criterion variable.

Table 3. Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Demographic, Burnout, and Well-Being Predictors of Teacher Instructional Quality (N = 310)

| Predictor | B | SE B | β | t | p / ΔR^2 |
|---|-------|------|---------|-------|------------------|
| Block 1: Demographic Controls $R^2 = .06$, $F(4, 305) = 4.88$, $p = .001$ | | | | | |
| Age | 0.02 | 0.01 | .09 | 1.78 | $p = .076$ |
| Sex (female = 1) | 0.11 | 0.08 | .07 | 1.41 | $p = .160$ |
| Teaching Experience (yrs) | 0.04 | 0.02 | .14 | 2.27 | $p = .024$ |
| Employment (perm. = 1) | 0.18 | 0.09 | .11 | 2.01 | $p = .046$ |
| Block 2: Burnout Dimensions $\Delta R^2 = .31$, $F(3, 302) = 46.21$, $p < .001$ | | | | | |
| Emotional Exhaustion | -0.38 | 0.06 | -.36 | -6.47 | $p < .001$ |
| Depersonalization | -0.29 | 0.07 | -.24 | -4.12 | $p < .001$ |
| Personal Accomplishment | 0.31 | 0.07 | .26 | 4.55 | $p < .001$ |
| Block 3: Well-Being & Workload $\Delta R^2 = .11$, $F(2, 300) = 22.84$, $p < .001$ | | | | | |
| Psychological Well-Being | 0.24 | 0.06 | .25 | 4.18 | $p < .001$ |
| Workload Stress | -0.21 | 0.07 | -.18 | -2.97 | $p = .003$ |
| Full Model Summary: $R^2 = .48$, Adjusted $R^2 = .47$, $F(9, 300) = 30.77$, $p < .001$ | | | | | |

Note. β = standardized regression coefficient at final model entry. Dependent variable = Teacher Instructional Quality Scale (TIQS) total score. Block 1 demographic predictors: age, sex (female = 1), teaching experience (years), employment status (permanent = 1). R^2 = variance explained; ΔR^2 = incremental variance explained at each block. All F-tests significant at stated p-values.

Block 1 demographic controls explained a modest but significant 6% of variance in instructional quality ($R^2 = .06$, $F(4, 305) = 4.88$, $p = .001$), with teaching experience ($\beta = .14$, $p = .024$) and permanent employment status ($\beta = .11$, $p = .046$) emerging as the only significant demographic predictors. The addition of burnout dimensions in Block 2 produced a highly significant incremental R^2 of .31 ($\Delta R^2 = .31$, $F(3, 302) = 46.21$, $p < .001$), with emotional exhaustion ($\beta = -.36$), personal accomplishment ($\beta = .26$), and depersonalization ($\beta = -.24$) all contributing significant independent variance. Block 3 addition of psychological well-being and workload stress produced a further significant increment of 11% ($\Delta R^2 = .11$, $F(2, 300) =$

22.84, $p < .001$), with psychological well-being ($\beta = .25$, $p < .001$) and workload stress ($\beta = -.18$, $p = .003$) both making significant independent contributions. The full model explained 48% of variance in instructional quality ($R^2 = .48$, adjusted $R^2 = .47$), demonstrating robust explanatory power.

4.3. Qualitative Findings: Thematic Analysis

Reflexive thematic analysis of 40 in-depth teacher interviews produced five overarching themes that illuminate the experiential mechanisms through which burnout affects instructional practice in Philippine school contexts. Table 4 presents each theme with key sub-themes, codes, and representative verbatim excerpts.

Table 4. Qualitative Themes, Sub-Themes, and Illustrative Teacher Quotes from In-Depth Semi-Structured Interviews

| Theme | Sub-Themes & Codes | Representative Participant Quote |
|---|---|---|
| Emotional Drain & Loss of Passion | Compassion fatigue; Diminished enthusiasm; Emotional blunting; Career regret | "There are mornings I sit in my car before class and I have to convince myself to go inside. That passion I had in my first year — I cannot find it anymore." — Teacher 6 |
| Administrative Overload & Role Conflict | Non-teaching duties; Paper overload; Meeting fatigue; Conflicting role demands | "I spend more time filling out forms and attending meetings than actually preparing quality lessons. I became a teacher, not a clerk." — Teacher 14 |
| Social Support as Protective Buffer | Collegial solidarity; Supportive school heads; Peer mentoring; Family grounding | "My co-teachers saved me. We share materials, we vent to each other, we celebrate small wins together. Without that community, I would have resigned years ago." — Teacher 23 |
| Coping Through Purposefulness | Student success as motivation; Meaning reconstruction; Intrinsic calling; Gratitude practices | "When a former student messages me to say I changed their life, everything resets. That is why I stay. That is why I keep preparing, even when I am exhausted." — Teacher 31 |
| Constrained Instructional Agency | Deprioritized pedagogy; Survival teaching; Reduced creativity; Lowered expectations | "When I am burned out, I just give them worksheets. I know it is not good teaching, but I have nothing left to give. The students get less than they deserve." — Teacher 38 |

Note. Participant excerpts reproduced verbatim from interview transcripts. All names replaced with sequential participant numbers to protect anonymity. Teacher participants ranged from 3 to 28 years of teaching experience across elementary and secondary school levels.

The theme of emotional drain and loss of passion described what participants consistently portrayed as the most psychologically distinctive feature of burnout: not temporary fatigue, but a qualitative transformation of one's relationship with teaching, from a vocationally meaningful calling to an emotionally depleting obligation. This experiential dimension of burnout was articulated with particular poignancy by teachers with ten or more years of service, who described the gradual erosion of their initial professional enthusiasm as a process of accumulated disappointments, unrecognized sacrifices, and unmet professional development needs. The instructional consequence of this emotional depletion was described consistently as pedagogical regression: a retreat from creative, student-responsive, and cognitively demanding instructional strategies to minimally effortful routines.

Administrative overload and role conflict emerged as the most universally shared antecedent theme in qualitative narratives, cutting across school levels, subject areas, and years of experience. Teachers described a progressive colonization of their professional time and mental bandwidth by non-instructional bureaucratic demands, compliance documentation, statistical reports, meeting attendance, community mobilization requirements, that left diminishing cognitive and emotional resources for the core instructional work that motivated their career choice. This theme directly corroborates the JD-R model's identification of excessive job demands as the primary energetic driver of burnout, and provides experiential grounding for the significant negative relationship between workload stress and instructional quality documented in the regression analysis. Social support as a protective buffer documented the single most consistently cited burnout-moderating factor in teacher accounts: the quality and availability of collegial relationships within the school. Teachers who described strong peer support networks, characterized by material reciprocity (sharing of lesson plans and materials), emotional validation, and collective problem-solving, reported substantially more resilient professional identities and more sustained instructional investment than colleagues who experienced their professional environment as isolated and competitive. School heads who were described as genuinely supportive, visible, approachable, recognition-giving, and advocacy-oriented toward their staff, were credited by teachers as among the most powerful buffers against burnout escalation.

Coping through purposefulness documented a fundamentally important motivational mechanism that distinguished teachers who sustained high instructional quality despite experienced burnout from those who allowed burnout to erode their teaching standards. These teachers engaged in what appeared to be an active, ongoing process of meaning reconstruction: deliberately reconnecting their daily instructional labor to its ultimate human purpose, student flourishing, in a manner that generated sufficient motivational energy to sustain above-threshold performance even under conditions of significant psychological depletion. This theme is theoretically consistent with Frankl's (1959) logotherapeutic notion of meaning as a

buffer against existential exhaustion and with more recent work on calling and vocational identity as protective resources in demanding helping professions.

Constrained instructional agency described the ultimate pedagogical cost of burnout in teachers' own words with notable candor and self-awareness. Teachers described their burned-out instructional behavior not as malicious or indifferent, but as a rationally adaptive response to energy scarcity: when one's psychological reserves are exhausted, instructional shortcuts represent survival strategies rather than professional failures. The pedagogical consequence documented across multiple participants—reduced formative assessment, decreased individual feedback, greater reliance on passive seatwork, and lowered performance expectations—directly corroborates the quantitative finding that burnout dimensions explain 31% of variance in instructional quality and provides a mechanism-level explanation for that statistical relationship.

V. DISCUSSION

The findings of this investigation provide robust convergent evidence for the damaging consequences of teacher burnout for instructional quality in Philippine basic education, while simultaneously advancing understanding of the specific psychological mechanisms and institutional conditions through which these consequences are produced and potentially moderated. The regression model's explanation of 48% of variance in instructional quality, with burnout dimensions contributing the largest incremental explanatory block ($\Delta R^2 = .31$), demonstrates that teacher psychological states are not peripheral to educational quality but are among its most powerful determinants.

The finding that emotional exhaustion is the strongest individual predictor of instructional quality degradation ($\beta = -.36$) is consistent with the theoretical primacy of energy depletion in the JD-R burnout model and with the broader literature on emotional labor and instructional effectiveness (Chang, 2009; Hargreaves, 2000; Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Teaching is fundamentally an emotional practice: effective instruction requires not only cognitive competence but sustained emotional availability, enthusiasm, and responsiveness. When emotional reserves are depleted through chronic exhaustion, the first instructional casualty is precisely the emotional engagement that makes teaching transformative rather than merely informational. The qualitative theme of emotional drain and loss of passion provides rich experiential texture to this statistical finding, documenting the lived phenomenology of instructional decline as a gradual rather than abrupt process—a progressive dimming of professional ardor rather than a sudden collapse.

The significant independent contribution of personal accomplishment ($\beta = .26$) alongside exhaustion and depersonalization confirms the theoretically important distinction between these burnout dimensions as partially independent determinants of instructional behavior. Teachers who maintain a sense of professional efficacy and meaningful accomplishment, even under conditions of moderate exhaustion, appear capable of sustaining higher instructional quality than would be predicted by their exhaustion scores alone. This finding has practical significance for intervention design: school environments that provide regular, specific, and credible affirmations of teacher impact on student outcomes may buffer the instructional consequences of burnout even when structural demand reduction is not immediately achievable.

The significant positive contribution of psychological well-being to instructional quality ($\beta = .25$), independent of burnout dimensions, supports the view that well-being and burnout are related but non-identical constructs with distinct instructional implications. While burnout captures the pathological end of the occupational health continuum, the progressive erosion of psychological resources, psychological well-being captures the positive flourishing end: the active experience of meaning, growth, positive relationships, and environmental mastery that constitute a psychologically rich professional life. The implication is that effective teacher support interventions must address both ends of this continuum: not only reducing burnout through demand management and resource provision, but actively cultivating well-being through purposefulness, collegial connection, and professional growth opportunities.

5.1. Limitations

Several limitations of this study merit acknowledgment. The cross-sectional quantitative design precludes causal inference about the direction of relationships between burnout and instructional quality: it is theoretically plausible, if empirically less supported, that poor instructional outcomes contribute to burnout through reduced personal accomplishment, rather than exclusively the reverse. Instructional quality was measured through self-report rather than direct classroom observation, introducing the possibility of socially desirable responding. The study's geographic focus on Central Luzon schools, while providing substantial sample size, limits direct generalizability to other regions with different school culture profiles, workload norms, and community support structures. Finally, the qualitative subsample, while purposively diverse, represents only 13% of the quantitative sample, and the transferability of qualitative themes to contexts outside the study schools requires careful reader judgment.

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study provides compelling convergent evidence that teacher burnout is a first-order determinant of instructional quality in Philippine basic education, with emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment collectively explaining nearly a third of the variance in the instructional effectiveness of elementary and secondary teachers, a magnitude of influence that dwarfs the contributions of demographic and experiential variables and rivals that of formal pedagogical training. The qualitative findings transform this statistical reality into a human one: teachers who are burned out

do not merely underperform in the abstract; they withdraw creatively, emotionally, and cognitively from the relational and intellectual work that makes teaching genuinely educational, in ways they recognize and regret but feel powerless to prevent without structural support.

On the basis of these integrated findings, the following recommendations are urgently offered. First, the Department of Education must establish and enforce a national teacher workload rationalization policy that explicitly limits non-instructional administrative duties and mandates minimum protected planning and professional learning time—a structural intervention that addresses the primary job demand driver of burnout documented in both quantitative and qualitative findings. Second, school-level leadership development programs should explicitly train principals in burnout-aware school management: recognition of early burnout indicators, provision of specific and timely performance affirmation, facilitation of peer support structures, and advocacy for teacher well-being in resource allocation decisions. Third, the Division and Regional Offices of DepEd should implement regular, validated burnout screening using the MBI-ES as part of the annual teacher evaluation cycle, with results used to trigger proactive support rather than punitive accountability responses. Fourth, teacher education programs should incorporate psychological well-being literacy and emotional self-regulation competencies as explicit curriculum components, preparing prospective teachers for the emotional demands of the profession before they enter classrooms. Fifth, future research should employ longitudinal designs to disentangle the causal dynamics of burnout and instructional quality over time, use multi-source instructional quality data (classroom observation, student ratings, administrative assessment) to reduce single-source bias, and examine the differential burnout profiles and protective resources available to teachers across school types, geographic locations, and socioeconomic community contexts.

The quality of education a nation's children receive is inseparable from the psychological health of the teachers who deliver it. Treating teacher well-being as a personnel benefit secondary to instructional policy is a category error with profound educational consequences. The evidence assembled in this study makes this connection not merely theoretically intuitive but empirically undeniable: investing in teacher mental health is investing in student learning, and failing to do so is a choice with costs borne most heavily by the students who can least afford them.

REFERENCES

- Alipio, M. (2020). Adjustment and burnout experiences of Filipino teachers: Implications for education stakeholders. *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 8(1), 1–10.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589–597. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2019.1628806>
- Chang, M. L. (2009). An appraisal perspective of teacher burnout: Examining the emotional work of teachers. *Educational Psychology Review*, 21(3), 193–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-009-9106-y>
- Collie, R. J., Shapka, J. D., & Perry, N. E. (2015). School climate and social-emotional learning: Predicting teacher stress, job satisfaction, and teaching efficacy. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 104(4), 1189–1204. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029356>
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE.
- Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2001). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 499–512. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.499>
- Department of Education. (2016). The K to 12 basic education program. DepEd Order No. 21, s. 2019. Republic of the Philippines.
- Department of Education. (2022). DepEd action plan for the recovery and reintegration of learning. DepEd Order No. 034, s. 2022. Republic of the Philippines.
- Frankl, V. E. (1959). *Man's search for meaning*. Beacon Press.
- Freedman, S. W., & Appleman, D. (2009). "What else would I be doing?": Teacher identity and teacher retention in urban schools. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 36(3), 101–124.
- Freudenberger, H. J. (1974). Staff burnout. *Journal of Social Issues*, 30(1), 159–165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1974.tb00706.x>
- Guetterman, T. C., Fetters, M. D., & Creswell, J. W. (2015). Integrating quantitative and qualitative results in health science mixed methods research through joint displays. *Annals of Family Medicine*, 13(6), 554–561. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1865>
- Hakanen, J. J., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). Burnout and work engagement among teachers. *Journal of School Psychology*, 43(6), 495–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsp.2005.11.001>
- Hargreaves, A. (2000). Mixed emotions: Teachers' perceptions of their interactions with students. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 16(8), 811–826. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X\(00\)00028-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(00)00028-7)
- Hochschild, A. R. (1983). *The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling*. University of California Press.
- Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social-emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(1), 491–525. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308325693>
- Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. E. (1986). *The Maslach Burnout Inventory—Educators Survey (MBI-ES)*. Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (1997). *The truth about burnout: How organizations cause personal stress and what to do about it*. Jossey-Bass.
- Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, 15(2), 103–111. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311>
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1), 397–422. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397>
- Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57(6), 1069–1081. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.6.1069>
- Santos, L. G., & Acosta, R. C. (2021). Occupational stress and burnout among public elementary school teachers in Metro Manila. *Philippine Journal of Education Research*, 9(2), 44–61.
- Schaufeli, W. B., Leiter, M. P., & Maslach, C. (2009). Burnout: 35 years of research and practice. *Career Development International*, 14(3), 204–220. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13620430910966406>
- Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2017). Dimensions of teacher burnout: Relations with potential stressors at school. *Social Psychology of Education*, 20(4), 775–790. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-017-9391-0>

- Toquero, C. M. (2020). Challenges and opportunities for higher education amid the COVID-19 pandemic: The Philippine context. *Pedagogical Research*, 5(4), em0063. <https://doi.org/10.29333/pr/7947>
- Vesely, A. K., Saklofske, D. H., & Leschied, A. D. W. (2014). Teachers — the vital resource: The contribution of emotional intelligence to teacher efficacy and well-being. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, 28(1), 71–89.