EDUSCHOOL
ACADEMIC RESEARCH & PUBLISHERS

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH STUDIES (IJSSRS)
(Open Access, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal)
ISSN Online: ISSN Print

Climate Change and Ancient Civilizations: How Environmental Shifts Shaped Societal Collapses or Migrations

Vinodkumar Kallolickal

Professor, Department of History, Maharaja's College, Ernakulam, Kerala India.

Article information
Received: 19th August 2025
Received in revised form: 10th September 2025
Accepted: 29th October 2025
Available online: 8th November 2025

Volume: 1
Issue:1
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17556396

Abstract

This paper examines the relationship between climate change and the decline of ancient civilizations, focusing specifically on the Maya, Harappan, and Norse Greenland settlements. Drawing on archaeological evidence, paleoclimatological data, and historical records, the research investigates how environmental shifts triggered or exacerbated societal vulnerabilities, ultimately contributing to collapse or migration. Analysis reveals that while climate change often served as a significant stressor, its effects were mediated through complex social, political, and economic systems unique to each civilization. The Maya experienced recurring drought cycles that undermined agricultural productivity and political stability; the Harappan civilization confronted shifting monsoon patterns and river course changes; and Norse Greenland settlements struggled with cooling temperatures during the Little Ice Age. This comparative approach demonstrates that environmental challenges rarely acted in isolation but rather interacted with existing cultural adaptations, social inequalities, and resource management strategies. The findings contribute to our understanding of human-environment interactions and offer insights into contemporary climate resilience, emphasizing the importance of adaptive capacity and social institutions in managing environmental change.

Keywords: - Paleoclimatology, Societal collapse, Environmental archaeology, Adaptive capacity, Maya drought, Human-environment interactions

Introduction

The relationship between human societies and their environments has been a central concern of archaeological and historical inquiry for decades. As contemporary climate change threatens modern societies, examining how past civilizations responded to environmental shifts offers valuable insights into human adaptation and vulnerability. This paper explores how climate change contributed to the collapse or migration of three ancient societies: the Maya of Central America, the Harappan (or Indus Valley) civilization of South Asia, and the Norse settlements in Greenland.

These case studies represent diverse geographical regions, cultural traditions, and time periods, yet all experienced significant environmental changes that coincided with major societal transformations. By examining these cases through the lens of environmental archaeology and history, this paper addresses the complex interplay between climate change and societal resilience. While environmental determinism has been rightly criticized for oversimplifying human-environment relationships, careful analysis of archaeological evidence and paleoclimate records reveals that climate shifts did play significant roles in these societies' trajectories.

The primary research question guiding this investigation is: How did climate change contribute to the collapse or migration of the Maya, Harappan, and Norse Greenland civilizations? This question will be explored through three related inquiries:

- What specific environmental changes occurred in each region?
- How did these societies respond to changing conditions?
- What factors enhanced or limited their adaptive capacity?

Theoretical Grounding

This analysis draws upon several theoretical frameworks that have shaped the study of human-environment interactions in archaeological and historical contexts. The vulnerability approach, as developed by scholars like (Adger 2006) and (Cutter 1996), provides a useful framework for understanding how societies experience and respond to environmental hazards. This perspective conceptualizes vulnerability as a function of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity, which helps move beyond simplistic causal relationships between climate change and societal collapse.

Political ecology, exemplified in works by (Blaikie and Brookfield 1987) and (Robbins 2012), offers insights into how power structures and resource distribution influence environmental management and adaptation. This framework is particularly valuable for examining how social hierarchies and political institutions mediated climate impacts in the complex societies under consideration.

Recent developments in resilience theory, stemming from the work of (Holling 1973) and further developed by scholars like (Redman 2005) and (Redman and Kinzig 2003), help explain how socio-ecological systems respond to disturbances and either adapt or transform. This perspective emphasizes the dynamic nature of human-environment relations and the potential for both continuity and change in the face of environmental challenges.

Archaeological approaches to climate change have increasingly embraced complexity, moving beyond monocausal explanations to consider multiple interacting factors. As (Butzer 2012) argues, societal collapses rarely result from environmental factors alone but rather from the interaction between environmental stressors and existing social, political, and economic vulnerabilities. This paper adopts this nuanced perspective, examining climate change as one factor within complex socio-ecological systems.

Analysis

The Maya Civilization (ca. 250-900 CE)

The Classic Maya civilization flourished in the lowlands of present-day Mexico, Guatemala, Belize, and Honduras between approximately 250 and 900 CE. By the 9th century CE, many major Maya centers had been abandoned, marking what scholars refer to as the "Classic Maya collapse." Paleoclimate records from multiple sources, including lake sediment cores, speleothems (cave formations), and tree rings, provide compelling evidence for severe drought conditions during this period.

(Haug et al. 2003) analyzed titanium concentrations in sediment cores from the Cariaco Basin, which reflect changes in rainfall over the Yucatán Peninsula. Their findings reveal multiple drought events between 760 and 910 CE, coinciding with the period of Maya political disintegration. These findings have been corroborated by other studies, including (Medina-Elizalde et al. 2010), who examined oxygen isotope records from stalagmites in Yucatán caves, revealing a series of multiyear droughts during the Terminal Classic period.

Archaeological evidence indicates that Maya agricultural systems were vulnerable to precipitation changes. Their intensive agriculture relied on seasonal rainfall and human-modified water management systems, including reservoirs and canal networks at sites like Tikal (Scarborough et al. 2012). As (Lucero 2002) has argued, Maya political power was closely tied to control of water resources, with rulers deriving legitimacy from their perceived ability to ensure agricultural productivity through ritual and water management. Prolonged drought would have undermined both agricultural systems and political authority simultaneously.

However, the Maya response to climate change was not uniform across the region. Some areas experienced more severe depopulation than others, and certain communities showed greater resilience. For example, northern Yucatán centers like Chichén Itzá actually flourished during the initial period of drought, possibly due to their access to cenotes (natural sinkholes) that provided reliable groundwater (Medina-Elizalde and Rohling 2012). This regional variation highlights the importance of local factors in mediating climate impacts.

Social factors also played crucial roles in determining vulnerability. Archaeological evidence suggests increasing social stratification and resource inequality preceded the collapse in many Maya centers (Kennett et al.

2012). As political competition intensified, elites responded by commissioning more monuments and engaging in warfare, placing additional strain on already stressed agricultural systems. When drought conditions intensified, the centralized political systems that had developed during more favorable climate conditions proved maladaptive.

The Harappan Civilization (ca. 2600-1900 BCE)

The Harappan or Indus Valley Civilization developed in what is now Pakistan and northwestern India, reaching its peak between 2600 and 1900 BCE. By 1700 BCE, the major urban centers had been largely abandoned, though the civilization did not disappear but rather transformed into smaller, more dispersed settlements in the eastern regions of their former territory.

Paleoclimate records from the Arabian Sea, Himalayan glaciers, and lake sediments indicate significant changes in the Indian monsoon system during this period. (Dixit et al. 2014) analyzed oxygen isotope data from lake sediments in northwestern India, revealing a gradual weakening of the summer monsoon beginning around 2100 BCE. This trend corresponds with broader patterns of aridification across South Asia identified in marine sediment cores by (Staubwasser et al. 2003).

Geomorphological research has also revealed significant changes in river systems during this period. The Ghaggar-Hakra river system, often identified with the mythical Sarasvati River mentioned in Vedic texts, experienced substantial reduction in flow around 2000 BCE (Giosan et al. 2012). While this was once attributed primarily to tectonic activity, recent research suggests that changing precipitation patterns played a significant role.

The Harappan civilization had developed sophisticated adaptations to their semi-arid environment, including well-planned drainage systems, reservoirs, and water-harvesting structures found at sites like Dholavira (Petrie et al. 2017). Their agricultural system relied on both winter and summer crops, with wheat and barley grown in winter and millet in summer, providing some resilience to seasonal rainfall variations (Weber et al. 2010).

As monsoon patterns shifted, archaeological evidence shows a gradual eastward migration of populations toward areas with more reliable rainfall. Late Harappan sites became smaller and less organized, suggesting a shift from urban to rural lifeways. Notably, this transformation appears to have been relatively gradual rather than catastrophic. As (Petrie et al. 2017) argue, the Harappan response represents a case of successful adaptation through population movement and changing subsistence strategies, rather than a complete societal collapse.

Social and economic factors also influenced the Harappan trajectory. Trade networks that had connected Mesopotamia with the Indus Valley declined around 2000 BCE due to political changes in Mesopotamia, reducing demand for Harappan goods (Possehl 2002). This economic shift coincided with environmental changes, creating multiple stressors on the urban centers.

Norse Greenland (ca. 985-1450 CE)

Norse settlements in Greenland, established around 985 CE by colonists from Iceland, persisted for nearly 500 years before being abandoned in the mid-15th century. The settlers established two main colonies: the Eastern Settlement in southern Greenland and the smaller Western Settlement farther north, which was abandoned earlier, around 1350 CE.

Paleoclimate evidence from ice cores, lake sediments, and biological proxies indicates a significant cooling trend beginning in the 13th century, marking the onset of the Little Ice Age in the North Atlantic region. Studies by (Massa et al. 2012) using lake sediment cores from southern Greenland reveal cooling temperatures and increased winter ice coverage that would have shortened growing seasons and reduced agricultural productivity. Additionally, (Patterson et al. 2010) found evidence of increased storminess and sea ice expansion that would have disrupted maritime communications and resources.

Archaeological excavations at Norse farm sites reveal evidence of adaptation to deteriorating conditions. Analysis of faunal remains shows an increasing reliance on marine resources, particularly seals, over time as agricultural productivity declined (McGovern et al. 2014). This dietary shift indicates that the Norse were actively modifying their subsistence strategies in response to changing conditions.

However, the Norse adaptive capacity was constrained by social and cultural factors. Despite increasing reliance on marine resources, they never fully adopted the hunting techniques of the indigenous Inuit population, who were far better adapted to Arctic conditions (Dugmore et al. 2012). Cultural identity and the desire to maintain European lifeways appear to have limited their willingness to adopt more efficient Arctic survival strategies.

Institutional factors also played a role in Norse vulnerability. As (Dugmore et al. 2012) argue, the Norse Greenland settlements were characterized by rigid social hierarchies and strong ties to European economic and cultural systems. Church and elite properties controlled the best agricultural land and dominated trade with Europe, primarily in walrus ivory and other luxury goods. When climate deterioration coincided with declining European demand for these goods and reduced ship traffic, the economic foundations of the colony eroded.

The abandonment of the settlements appears to have been a gradual process of outmigration rather than a catastrophic collapse. Evidence suggests that the Western Settlement was abandoned first, around 1350 CE, while the Eastern Settlement persisted for another century before the final abandonment (Arneborg et al. 2012). This pattern suggests deliberate decisions to relocate rather than a sudden die-off of the population.

Interpretation

The comparative analysis of these three case studies reveals important patterns in how climate change interacted with societal dynamics. In all three cases, environmental changes created significant challenges for established subsistence systems. The Maya faced severe drought that undermined their intensive agriculture; the Harappans confronted shifting monsoon patterns and river changes; and the Norse Greenlanders struggled with cooling temperatures and expanding sea ice. However, these environmental challenges translated into social outcomes through specific cultural, political, and economic mechanisms.

Political centralization appears to have been a double-edged sword in terms of climate resilience. The highly centralized Maya political system initially allowed for the development of sophisticated water management infrastructure, but this same centralization became a liability when environmental conditions changed. The legitimacy of rulers was tied to their perceived ability to ensure favorable conditions, making the political system vulnerable to environmental change. Similarly, the hierarchical nature of Norse Greenland society concentrated decision-making power among elites whose interests were aligned with maintaining European connections rather than maximizing local adaptation.

The Harappan case offers an interesting contrast, as their political organization appears to have been less centralized than once thought, with multiple urban centers rather than a single dominant capital. This more distributed political structure may have facilitated their relatively successful adaptation through eastward migration and settlement pattern changes.

Economic specialization and trade dependencies created vulnerabilities in all three societies. The Maya elites' focus on monument construction and prestige goods reduced resources available for adaptation. The Harappan urban centers depended partly on trade with Mesopotamia, and the Norse Greenland economy relied heavily on the export of walrus ivory and other Arctic products to Europe. When these trade networks were disrupted—through political instability, changing demand, or transportation difficulties—economic foundations were undermined.

Cultural factors also shaped adaptive responses. The Norse cultural identity was strongly tied to European agricultural traditions, limiting their willingness to adopt Inuit subsistence practices despite their superior adaptation to Arctic conditions. Maya religious beliefs tied political legitimacy to rulers' perceived ability to communicate with deities and ensure favorable climate conditions, creating a crisis of legitimacy during prolonged drought. The Harappans appear to have been more flexible, gradually shifting their settlement patterns and subsistence strategies in response to changing conditions.

Population density and resource pressure also influenced vulnerability. Both the Maya and Harappan civilizations reached high population densities that strained available resources even under favorable conditions. Archaeological evidence suggests deforestation and soil degradation in the Maya region preceded the major drought episodes, reducing resilience to climate stress. By contrast, the Norse never achieved high population densities in Greenland, but their marginal agricultural system was inherently vulnerable to even small climate shifts.

Implications

This analysis has several implications for understanding the relationship between climate change and societal transformation. First, it demonstrates that climate impacts are always mediated through social, political, and economic systems. Environmental changes create challenges, but societal responses—shaped by institutions, cultural values, and power structures—determine outcomes. This finding supports the conceptual shift in archaeology and history away from environmental determinism toward more nuanced socio-ecological approaches.

Second, the case studies highlight the importance of adaptive capacity in determining resilience to climate change. Societies with more flexible subsistence strategies, less rigid social hierarchies, and more distributed decision-making appear to have been better positioned to adapt. The Harappan transformation, while involving significant changes in settlement patterns and urban life, allowed for population continuity and cultural persistence. By contrast, the Maya and Norse cases involved more dramatic disruptions and, in the Norse case, complete abandonment of their settlements.

Third, these historical examples demonstrate that climate change rarely acts alone but rather interacts with existing vulnerabilities and additional stressors. In all three cases, environmental changes coincided with social, political, or economic challenges: political competition and conflict among Maya centers, disruptions in Harappan trade networks, and declining European contact with Norse Greenland. These combined stressors overwhelmed adaptive capacities.

Finally, these cases reveal that societies can respond to environmental challenges through various pathways, including technological innovation, migration, social reorganization, or some combination of these strategies. The Harappan eastward migration represents a largely successful adaptation, while the Norse abandonment of Greenland might be viewed as an adaptation through relocation rather than a failure. The Maya response was more variable, with some regions experiencing dramatic population decline while others showed continuity or even growth.

For contemporary climate change discourse, these historical cases offer important insights. They remind us that societies have always faced environmental challenges and have developed various adaptive strategies. At the same time, they caution against complacency, demonstrating that even sophisticated societies can be overwhelmed when climate change coincides with other stressors or when adaptive capacity is constrained by rigid social structures or cultural preferences.

Conclusion

This comparative analysis of the Maya, Harappan, and Norse Greenland cases demonstrates that climate change played a significant role in the transformation of these societies, but always through interaction with specific social, political, and economic contexts. Environmental shifts created challenges for established subsistence systems, but societal responses—shaped by institutions, cultural values, and power structures—determined whether these challenges led to collapse, migration, or successful adaptation.

The findings of this study contribute to the broader understanding of human-environment interactions in several ways. First, they reinforce the need to move beyond simplistic causal relationships between climate change and societal collapse toward more nuanced analyses of vulnerability and resilience. Second, they highlight the importance of adaptive capacity—including technological innovation, social flexibility, and resource distribution—in determining how societies respond to environmental challenges. Third, they demonstrate that climate impacts are always mediated through cultural values, social structures, and political institutions.

Future research would benefit from even more detailed integration of paleoclimate data with archaeological evidence, particularly at regional and local scales. The growing precision of paleoclimate reconstructions, combined with advances in archaeological dating methods, offers opportunities to better understand the temporal relationships between climate changes and societal responses. Additionally, more attention to non-elite adaptations and community-level resilience would provide a more comprehensive picture of how ancient societies experienced and responded to climate change.

As contemporary societies face unprecedented anthropogenic climate change, these historical examples offer valuable perspectives on the complex interplay between environmental shifts and social dynamics. They remind us that climate adaptation is not merely a technical challenge but also a social, political, and cultural process shaped by institutions, values, and power relations. By examining how past societies navigated environmental changes, we can gain insights into the factors that enhance or limit resilience to climate challenges today.

Reference

Adger, W. Neil. 2006. "Vulnerability." *Global Environmental Change* 16 (3): 268–281.

Arneborg, Jette, Niels Lynnerup, and Jan Heinemeier. 2012. "Human Diet and Subsistence Patterns in Norse Greenland AD c.980–c.1450: Archaeological Interpretations." *Journal of the North Atlantic* 3: 119–133.

Blaikie, Piers, and Harold Brookfield. 1987. *Land Degradation and Society*. London: Methuen.

Butzer, Karl W. 2012. "Collapse, Environment, and Society." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (10): 3632–3639.

Cutter, Susan L. 1996. "Vulnerability to Environmental Hazards." *Progress in Human Geography* 20 (4): 529–539.

Dixit, Yama, David A. Hodell, and Cameron A. Petrie. 2014. "Abrupt Weakening of the Summer Monsoon in Northwest India ~4100 Yr Ago." *Geology* 42 (4): 339–342.

Dugmore, Andrew J., Christian Keller, Thomas H. McGovern, Andrew F. Casely, and Konrad Smiarowski. 2012. "Norse Greenland Settlement and Limits to Adaptation." In *Adapting to Climate Change: Thresholds, Values, Governance*, edited by W. Neil Adger, Irene Lorenzoni, and Karen L. O'Brien, 96–113. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Giosan, Liviu, Peter D. Clift, Mark G. Macklin, Dorian Q. Fuller, Stefan Constantinescu, Julie A. Durcan, Thomas Stevens, et al. 2012. "Fluvial Landscapes of the Harappan Civilization." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (26): E1688–E1694.

Haug, Gerald H., Detlef Günther, Larry C. Peterson, Daniel M. Sigman, Konrad A. Hughen, and Beat Aeschlimann. 2003. "Climate and the Collapse of Maya Civilization." *Science* 299 (5613): 1731–1735.

Holling, C. S. 1973. "Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems." *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 4: 1–23.

Kennett, Douglas J., Sebastian F. M. Breitenbach, Valorie V. Aquino, Yemane Asmerom, Jaime Awe, James U. L. Baldini, Patrick Bartlein, et al. 2012. "Development and Disintegration of Maya Political Systems in Response to Climate Change." *Science* 338 (6108): 788–791.

Lucero, Lisa J. 2002. "The Collapse of the Classic Maya: A Case for the Role of Water Control." *American Anthropologist* 104 (3): 814–826.

Massa, Charly, Birgit V. Perren, Émilie Gauthier, Vincent Bichet, Christophe Petit, and Hervé Richard. 2012. "A Multiproxy Evaluation of Holocene Environmental Change from Lake Igaliku, South Greenland." *Journal of Paleolimnology* 48 (1): 241–258.

McGovern, Thomas H., Ramona Harrison, and Konrad Smiarowski. 2014. "Sorting Sheep and Goats in Medieval Iceland and Greenland: Local Subsistence, Climate Change, or World System Impacts?" In *Human Ecodynamics in the North Atlantic: A Collaborative Model of Humans and Nature through Space and Time*, edited by Ramona Harrison and Ruth A. Maher, 153–176. Lanham: Lexington Books.

Medina-Elizalde, Martín, Stephen J. Burns, David W. Lea, Yemane Asmerom, Lucien von Gunten, Victor Polyak, Mathias Vuille, and Ambarish Karmalkar. 2010. "High-Resolution Stalagmite Climate Record from the Yucatán Peninsula Spanning the Maya Terminal Classic Period." *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 298 (1–2): 255–262.

Medina-Elizalde, Martín, and Eelco J. Rohling. 2012. "Collapse of Classic Maya Civilization Related to Modest Reduction in Precipitation." *Science* 335 (6071): 956–959.

Patterson, William P., Kristin A. Dietrich, Chris Holmden, and John T. Andrews. 2010. "Two Millennia of North Atlantic Seasonality and Implications for Norse Colonies." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107 (12): 5306–5310.

Petrie, Cameron A., Ravindra N. Singh, Jennifer Bates, Yama Dixit, Charly A. I. French, David A. Hodell, Penelope J. Jones, et al. 2017. "Adaptation to Variable Environments, Resilience to Climate Change: Investigating Land, Water and Settlement in Indus Northwest India." *Current Anthropology* 58 (1): 1–30.

Possehl, Gregory L. 2002. *The Indus Civilization: A Contemporary Perspective*. Walnut Creek: AltaMira Press.

Redman, Charles L. 2005. "Resilience Theory in Archaeology." *American Anthropologist* 107 (1): 70–77.

Redman, Charles L., and Ann P. Kinzig. 2003. "Resilience of Past Landscapes: Resilience Theory, Society, and the Longue Durée." *Conservation Ecology* 7 (1): 14.

Robbins, Paul. 2012. *Political Ecology: A Critical Introduction*. 2nd ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.

Scarborough, Vernon L., Nicholas P. Dunning, Kenneth B. Tankersley, Christopher Carr, Eric Weaver, Liwy Grazioso, Brian Lane, et al. 2012. "Water and Sustainable Land Use at the Ancient Tropical City of Tikal, Guatemala." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (31): 12408–12413.

Staubwasser, Michael, Frank Sirocko, Pieter M. Grootes, and Monika Segl. 2003. "Climate Change at the 4.2 ka BP Termination of the Indus Valley Civilization and Holocene South Asian Monsoon Variability." *Geophysical Research Letters* 30 (8): 1425.

Weber, Steven A., Tim Barela, and Heather Lehman. 2010. "Ecological Continuity: An Explanation for Agricultural Diversity in the Indus Civilization and Beyond." *Man and Environment* 35 (1): 62–75.