

Parent Engagement Models That Improve Educational Outcomes in Diverse Communities

Renjisha R, Principal, CFI College of Teacher Education, Poyya, Kodungallur, Kerala, India

Article information

Received: 31st January 2025

Received in revised form: 28th February 2025

Accepted: 19th March 2025

Available online: 9th April 2025

Volume: 1

Issue: 2

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15341927>

Abstract

This paper examines the effectiveness of various parent engagement models in improving educational outcomes across diverse community contexts. Despite widespread acknowledgment of parental involvement's importance, significant disparities exist in engagement levels and outcomes across socioeconomic, cultural, and linguistic boundaries. Through a mixed-methods analysis of empirical research, this study identifies four key parent engagement frameworks that demonstrate measurable improvements in student achievement across diverse settings: ecological partnership models, culturally responsive engagement, digital engagement platforms, and community school initiatives. Findings suggest that successful models share common characteristics: cultural responsiveness, bidirectional communication, asset-based approaches, and systemic integration within educational institutions. The paper concludes with policy and practice recommendations for implementing contextually appropriate parent engagement strategies that address equity gaps while improving educational outcomes for all students.

Keywords:- Parent engagement, Educational equity, Diverse communities, Cultural responsiveness, Educational outcomes

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Context and Problem Statement

Parental engagement in education represents one of the most consistent predictors of student achievement across demographic groups, educational settings, and age levels (Henderson & Mapp, 2002; Jeynes, 2018). Research consistently demonstrates that when parents actively participate in their children's education, students exhibit improved academic performance, attendance rates, social skills, and graduation rates (Epstein et al., 2019; Kraft & Rogers, 2015). However, significant disparities exist in both the levels and impacts of parent engagement across diverse communities (Baquedano-López et al., 2013).

Traditional parent involvement models have often reflected dominant cultural assumptions about family structures, communication norms, and educational values, creating systemic barriers for families from minoritized backgrounds (Ishimaru, 2019). Schools serving diverse populations frequently report challenges in engaging parents from low-income, immigrant, linguistically diverse, and historically marginalized communities (Yosso, 2005; Auerbach, 2007). These engagement disparities contribute to persistent achievement gaps and inequitable educational outcomes.

The COVID-19 pandemic further amplified these inequities while simultaneously accelerating innovations in family engagement approaches (Bacher-Hicks et al., 2021). As educational systems rebuild and reimagine partnerships with families, there is an urgent need to identify and implement parent engagement models that effectively serve diverse communities and demonstrably improve educational outcomes.

1.2 Research Questions

This paper addresses the following research questions:

- What parent engagement models demonstrate measurable improvements in educational outcomes across diverse community contexts?
- What common characteristics define successful parent engagement approaches in diverse settings?

- How can educational institutions implement contextually appropriate parent engagement strategies that address equity concerns?

1.3 Significance

This research contributes to educational practice and policy in several ways. First, by identifying evidence-based parent engagement models that work across diverse contexts, the study provides actionable frameworks for schools serving heterogeneous populations. Second, by analyzing the common characteristics of successful approaches, the research offers design principles for adapting engagement strategies to specific community needs. Finally, by presenting implementation guidelines, the paper bridges theory and practice for educational leaders seeking to enhance parent partnerships and improve student outcomes.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of Parent Engagement

Parent engagement research draws from multiple theoretical traditions, including ecological systems theory (Bronfenbrenner, 1979), social capital theory (Coleman, 1988), and critical race theory (Ladson-Billings & Tate, 1995). (Epstein, 1995) influential framework of six types of involvement (parenting, communicating, volunteering, learning at home, decision making, and community collaboration) has shaped decades of research and practice. More recent theoretical developments include funds of knowledge approaches (Moll et al., 1992), which recognize the cultural and intellectual resources within families, and equitable collaboration frameworks (Ishimaru, 2019), which emphasize power-sharing between educators and families.

2.2 Traditional Approaches to Parent Engagement

Traditional parent engagement approaches have typically focused on school-centric activities such as parent-teacher conferences, PTA membership, school volunteering, and homework supervision (Epstein et al., 2019). While these approaches benefit many students, research indicates they often reflect middle-class, White cultural norms and fail to acknowledge the diverse ways families support education across cultural contexts (Baquedano-López et al., 2013; Yosso, 2005). Several critiques emerge from the literature regarding traditional engagement models:

- Deficit perspectives that view certain families as "hard to reach" rather than examining institutional barriers to engagement (Valencia, 2010)
- Unidirectional communication flows that position schools as knowledge-providers and parents as passive recipients (Ishimaru, 2019)
- Inflexible participation structures that fail to accommodate diverse family schedules, languages, and comfort levels (Auerbach, 2007)
- Narrow definitions of engagement that overlook culturally specific supportive practices (Yosso, 2005)

2.3 Changing Demographics and Engagement Challenges

Educational institutions across many countries face rapidly changing demographic landscapes. In the United States, public schools serve increasingly diverse student populations, with students of color comprising the majority of public school enrollments (National Center for Education Statistics, 2022). These demographic shifts necessitate evolved approaches to family engagement that acknowledge diverse cultural perspectives on education, varying family structures, and multilingual communication needs.

Research identifies several barriers to engagement in diverse communities:

- Linguistic barriers when schools lack multilingual communication capabilities (Arias & Morillo-Campbell, 2008)
- Cultural mismatches between home and school expectations (Kim, 2009)
- Logistical challenges including transportation, childcare, and inflexible work schedules (Williams & Sánchez, 2013)
- Historical distrust of educational institutions among communities that have experienced discrimination (Baquedano-López et al., 2013)
- Digital divides that impact access to online engagement platforms (Reich, 2020)

2.4 Measuring Impact on Educational Outcomes

The literature reveals varying approaches to measuring the impact of parent engagement on educational outcomes. Quantitative studies frequently examine correlations between engagement indicators and academic metrics such as standardized test scores, grade point averages, attendance rates, graduation rates, and college enrollment (Jeynes, 2007; Hill & Tyson, 2009). Qualitative research often explores process-oriented outcomes including student motivation, educational aspirations, school belonging, and self-efficacy (Goodall & Montgomery, 2014).

Meta-analyses consistently demonstrate positive associations between parent involvement and student achievement across diverse populations, though the strength of these relationships varies by the type of involvement, student age, and cultural context (Jeynes, 2018; Castro et al., 2015). More nuanced research examines which specific engagement strategies yield the strongest impacts for particular student populations and how these effects may be mediated by other factors.

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research synthesis approach to evaluate parent engagement models across diverse contexts. The methodology combines systematic review techniques with qualitative meta-synthesis to identify both the empirical effectiveness and contextual nuances of various engagement frameworks.

3.2 Data Collection

The review process included peer-reviewed research published between 2000-2024 from educational, sociological, and psychological databases including ERIC, Education Source, PsycINFO, Sociological Abstracts, and Google Scholar. Government reports, policy evaluations, and nonprofit research were also included when methodologically rigorous. The search utilized combinations of terms including:

- Parent/family engagement/involvement
- Diverse/multicultural/multilingual communities
- Educational outcomes/achievement
- Equity/inclusion
- School-family partnerships

3.3 Inclusion Criteria

Studies were included in the analysis if they met the following criteria:

- Focused on K-12 educational settings
- Explicitly examined parent engagement strategies or models
- Included diverse populations (defined by race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, language, or other cultural factors)
- Measured impact on one or more educational outcomes
- Utilized rigorous methodology (either quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods)
- Published in English or with available translations

3.4 Analytical Approach

Each included study underwent systematic coding for:

- Engagement model/approach
- Community demographics
- Research methodology
- Educational outcomes measured
- Effect sizes or qualitative impacts
- Implementation factors
- Contextual variables

Cross-case analysis identified patterns across successful engagement approaches, while attention to negative or null findings helped illuminate boundary conditions and implementation challenges.

IV. RESULTS: EFFECTIVE PARENT ENGAGEMENT MODELS

Analysis of the literature reveals four parent engagement models demonstrating consistent positive impacts on educational outcomes across diverse community contexts. This section presents each model with supporting empirical evidence.

4.1 Ecological Partnership Models

Ecological partnership approaches conceptualize parent engagement within interconnected systems affecting child development. These models recognize that effective engagement requires alignment between school practices, family contexts, and community resources (Weiss et al., 2018).

4.1.1 Evidence of Impact

The Academic Parent-Teacher Teams (APTT) model, which replaces traditional parent-teacher conferences with group coaching sessions and individual goal-setting, demonstrates significant improvements in academic achievement. In a controlled study across diverse schools, students whose families participated in APTT showed 5-10 percentile point gains in reading and math compared to control groups, with particularly strong effects for English learners (Paredes, 2017). Similarly, the Family Engagement Partnership intervention implemented in Washington DC public schools produced reading achievement gains equivalent to four months of additional instruction, with larger effects for students who had been performing below grade level (Sheldon & Jung, 2018).

The Right Question School-Family Partnership strategy, which teaches parents to formulate effective questions and participate in educational decision-making, shows promise in diverse settings. Research with predominantly low-income Latino families found significant increases in parents' involvement in children's education, communication with teachers, and advocacy behaviors (Zabala et al., 2020).

Implementation of these approaches requires significant structural adaptation within schools, including dedicated coordination staff, teacher professional development, and scheduling accommodations. However, longitudinal data indicates that initial investments generate sustainable improvements in both engagement levels and student outcomes (Weiss et al., 2018).

4.2 Culturally Responsive Engagement Frameworks

Culturally responsive approaches center families' cultural identities, knowledge systems, and communication styles while critically examining power dynamics between institutions and communities.

4.2.1 Evidence of Impact

The Families and Schools Together (FAST) program, which incorporates cultural responsiveness through community-based adaptation and collaborative implementation, demonstrates positive impacts across diverse settings. A randomized controlled trial with low-income, culturally diverse families found that FAST participation was associated with significant improvements in academic performance and classroom behavior, with sustained effects two years post-intervention (Gamoran et al., 2012).

Community-Based Design Research approaches, which position parents as co-designers of engagement initiatives, show promise in historically marginalized communities. The Parent Leadership Action Network in Oakland demonstrated improvements in math achievement scores and attendance rates in participating schools, while simultaneously building parents' capacity to influence educational policy (Ishimaru et al., 2016).

In immigrant communities, culturally grounded programs like Educational Navigation explicitly address integration challenges while affirming cultural identities. Longitudinal research with refugee and immigrant families shows positive effects on student school adaptation, parent-school communication, and academic achievement, particularly when programs employ cultural brokers from the communities being served (McBrien et al., 2017).

These approaches require substantial investment in relationship building and community partnership development. However, evidence suggests they establish more sustainable engagement patterns and address root causes of disengagement among marginalized communities.

4.3 Digital Engagement Platforms

Digital approaches leverage technology to reduce barriers to parent participation while expanding the reach and frequency of school-home communication.

4.3.1 Evidence of Impact

Text-messaging interventions provide scalable ways to support parent engagement across diverse populations. A series of randomized controlled trials demonstrated that personalized text messages providing parents with actionable information and encouragement improved early literacy outcomes, attendance rates, and course completion, with particularly strong effects for families with lower baseline engagement levels (York et al., 2019; Kraft & Rogers, 2015).

Multi-platform digital approaches that combine mobile applications, learning management systems, and social media demonstrate promising results when designed with attention to accessibility. Research on the Remind platform showed that two-way text communication between teachers and families was associated with increased assignment completion rates and improved academic performance, with benefits extending across socioeconomic and linguistic groups (Kraft & Monti-Nussbaum, 2021).

Digital approaches succeed when they address technology access barriers through multiple modalities, provide content in families' preferred languages, and complement rather than replace relationship-based strategies. Implementation requires attention to digital equity issues, including device access, connectivity, and digital literacy support for families.

4.4 Community School Models

Community school approaches position schools as neighborhood hubs offering integrated academic, health, and social services while embracing robust family engagement as a core design principle.

4.4.1 Evidence of Impact

Comprehensive evaluations of community schools across diverse contexts demonstrate positive impacts on multiple educational outcomes. A longitudinal study of 143 community schools found that strong implementation was associated with improved attendance, reduced disciplinary incidents, and accelerated academic growth, with particularly strong effects in high-poverty schools (Johnston et al., 2020).

The Children's Aid Society Community Schools model, implemented in predominantly Latino and Black communities in New York City, demonstrates positive effects on attendance, academic achievement, and graduation rates. Notably, parent engagement metrics showed significant increases in both formal participation activities and informal support behaviors (Jacobson et al., 2013).

Communities In Schools, another widely implemented approach, demonstrates improved outcomes especially for students experiencing economic disadvantage. Program evaluations show positive impacts on attendance, behavior, course performance, and graduation rates, particularly when family engagement components are strongly implemented (Communities In Schools, 2018).

Community school approaches require significant cross-sector collaboration and sustained funding but demonstrate broader impact beyond academic measures, including improved family economic stability, health outcomes, and community cohesion.

V. DISCUSSION: COMMON CHARACTERISTICS OF SUCCESSFUL MODELS

Cross-cutting analysis reveals shared characteristics among successful parent engagement models regardless of the specific approach. These common elements suggest design principles that can be adapted across contexts.

5.1 Cultural Responsiveness and Asset-Based Orientation

Successful models consistently demonstrate cultural responsiveness, defined as engagement practices that affirm families' cultural identities, leverage cultural knowledge as educational resources, and adapt communication to cultural norms (Gay, 2018). Rather than expecting families to conform to institutional expectations, effective approaches modify institutional practices to honor diverse family forms, values, and communication preferences.

Effective models also adopt asset-based perspectives that recognize the knowledge, skills, and resources within families rather than focusing on perceived deficits (Yosso, 2005). This orientation manifests through practices such as gathering family stories, incorporating community knowledge into curriculum, and recognizing diverse forms of parent contribution beyond traditional volunteering.

5.2 Bidirectional Communication and Power-Sharing

Successful engagement frameworks establish bidirectional communication flows where information, expertise, and decision-making authority move between schools and families rather than flowing only from schools to homes (Ishimaru, 2019). This characteristic appears across models through practices such as co-design sessions, dialogue-based conferences, and governance structures with meaningful parent representation.

Research indicates that power-sharing approaches yield stronger outcomes than traditional information-dissemination models, particularly in communities with histories of educational marginalization. When engagement practices explicitly address power imbalances and create authentic roles for parent leadership, both participation rates and impacts increase (Warren et al., 2018).

5.3 Multi-Tiered Support Systems

Effective models implement engagement opportunities along a continuum of intensity and access points, recognizing that families have diverse needs, capacities, and preferences (Weiss et al., 2018). This multi-tiered approach typically includes:

- Universal strategies accessible to all families (e.g., user-friendly communication systems, flexible scheduling)
- Targeted approaches for families facing specific barriers (e.g., language support, transportation assistance)
- Intensive interventions for families needing comprehensive support (e.g., home visiting programs, wraparound services)

Multi-tiered systems allow for more equitable resource allocation while avoiding one-size-fits-all approaches that typically privilege families already positioned to engage with educational institutions.

5.4 Systemic Integration and Institutional Commitment

Successful parent engagement is integrated into core educational structures rather than implemented as isolated programs or initiatives (Mapp & Kuttner, 2013). This integration appears through practices such as:

- Including family engagement metrics in school accountability systems
- Allocating dedicated personnel and budget for family partnerships
- Providing ongoing professional development for all staff on family engagement
- Embedding family partnership objectives in strategic planning

When engagement efforts receive strong institutional commitment through policy, funding, and leadership messaging, they demonstrate greater sustainability and impact than peripheral initiatives.

VI. IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES FOR EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

Based on the research synthesis, the following guidelines offer practical direction for implementing effective parent engagement strategies in diverse educational contexts.

6.1 Conducting Equity-Oriented Community Assessment

Before implementing engagement strategies, institutions should conduct comprehensive community assessments that examine:

- Demographic composition including linguistic diversity, family structures, and cultural backgrounds
- Community assets, knowledge systems, and existing networks
- Structural barriers to engagement including transportation, scheduling, language, and digital access issues
- Historical relationships between the institution and different community segments
- Family priorities, preferences, and perspectives on education

Assessment methodologies should employ culturally appropriate approaches that build relationship while gathering information, such as community dialogues, cultural brokers, and participatory mapping techniques.

6.2 Building Staff Capacity

Effective implementation requires significant investment in educator preparation and ongoing professional development. Research indicates that high-impact professional learning for family engagement includes:

- Critical self-reflection on cultural assumptions and biases
- Development of cultural competence and linguistic responsiveness
- Training in collaborative communication techniques
- Strategies for sharing power and recognizing family expertise
- Skills for navigating intercultural differences and potential conflicts

Professional development should engage all personnel, including teachers, administrators, support staff, and community partners, to create consistent, welcoming environments for diverse families.

6.3 Creating Flexible and Accessible Engagement Pathways

Institutions should develop multiple engagement pathways that accommodate diverse family circumstances, including:

- Varied scheduling options beyond traditional school hours
- Multiple communication channels (digital, print, in-person)
- Multilingual support for all major engagement activities
- Transportation and childcare accommodations for on-site events
- Options for varying levels of time commitment and participation types

Evidence suggests that when institutions demonstrate flexibility and responsiveness to family circumstances, participation increases across demographic groups (Williams & Sánchez, 2013).

6.4 Measuring Outcomes and Continuous Improvement

Institutions should implement robust evaluation systems that:

- Define clear, measurable objectives for engagement efforts
- Collect both process data (participation, satisfaction) and outcome data (student academic and social-emotional indicators)
- Disaggregate data to identify differential impacts across student groups
- Incorporate family feedback through culturally appropriate methods
- Use findings for continuous improvement rather than punitive purposes

Evaluation should employ mixed methods to capture both quantitative impacts and qualitative experiences of families from diverse backgrounds.

VII. CONCLUSION

7.1 Summary of Findings

This analysis demonstrates that several parent engagement models consistently improve educational outcomes across diverse community contexts when implemented with fidelity and contextual adaptation. While specific approaches vary, successful models share key characteristics: cultural responsiveness, bidirectional communication, tiered support systems, and systemic integration within educational institutions.

The research also highlights the importance of implementation quality, with particular attention to equity concerns including linguistic accessibility, cultural relevance, and power-sharing. Institutions that approach parent engagement through an equity lens, critically examining and modifying traditional practices that privilege dominant groups, demonstrate stronger outcomes across diverse populations.

7.2 Implications for Policy and Practice

These findings suggest several implications for educational policy and practice:

- Educational funding formulas should allocate dedicated resources for family engagement, with weighted funding for schools serving diverse populations requiring additional engagement supports.
- Teacher preparation and licensure requirements should include robust preparation for family partnership, including cultural competence development and engagement strategies for diverse communities.
- School accountability systems should incorporate meaningful measures of family engagement quality, not merely participation counts, with attention to equitable engagement across demographic groups.
- Educational leaders should position parent engagement as central to educational mission rather than peripheral, with corresponding allocation of time, staff, and resources.
- Digital equity initiatives should explicitly address family engagement needs, ensuring that technological innovations enhance rather than exacerbate participation gaps.

7.3 Limitations and Future Research Directions

Several limitations affect the current research base on parent engagement in diverse communities. Most studies examine relatively short implementation timeframes, limiting understanding of sustained impacts. Additionally, varying outcome

measures across studies complicate direct comparisons between approaches. Many studies also lack sufficient sample sizes for robust subgroup analysis across multiple demographic factors.

Future research should address these gaps through:

- Longitudinal studies examining sustained implementation and long-term effects
- Mixed-methods research that combines rigorous impact evaluation with detailed implementation analysis
- Studies examining intersectionality and how engagement approaches affect families with multiple marginalized identities
- Research on cost-effectiveness to guide resource allocation decisions
- Participatory research methodologies that engage families as co-researchers rather than merely subjects

As educational systems increasingly serve diverse student populations, developing effective parent engagement strategies represents a critical lever for advancing educational equity and excellence. By implementing engagement models that honor family cultures, share power, and systematically address barriers to participation, schools can harness the tremendous potential of family-school partnerships to improve outcomes for all students.

References

- Arias, M. B., & Morillo-Campbell, M. (2008). *Promoting ELL parental involvement: Challenges in contested times*. Education Policy Research Unit.
- Auerbach, S. (2007). From moral supporters to struggling advocates: Reconceptualizing parent roles in education through the experience of working-class families of color. *Urban Education*, 42(3), 250–283. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085907300433>
- Bacher-Hicks, A., Goodman, J., & Mulhern, C. (2021). Inequality in household adaptation to schooling shocks: COVID-induced online learning engagement in real time. *Journal of Public Economics*, 193, 104345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2020.104345>
- Baquedano-López, P., Alexander, R. A., & Hernández, S. J. (2013). Equity issues in parental and community involvement in schools: What teacher educators need to know. *Review of Research in Education*, 37(1), 149–182. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X12459718>
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.
- Castro, M., Expósito-Casas, E., López-Martín, E., Lizasoain, L., Navarro-Asencio, E., & Gaviria, J. L. (2015). Parental involvement on student academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 14, 33–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.01.002>
- Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(Supplement), S95–S120. <https://doi.org/10.1086/228943>
- Communities In Schools. (2018). *National impact report: Changing the picture of education across America*. Communities In Schools National Office. <https://www.communitiesinschools.org/>
- Epstein, J. L. (1995). School/family/community partnerships: Caring for the children we share. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 76(9), 701–712.
- Epstein, J. L., Sanders, M. G., Sheldon, S. B., Simon, B. S., Salinas, K. C., Jansorn, N. R., Van Voorhis, F. L., Martin, C. S., Thomas, B. G., Greenfield, M. D., Hutchins, D. J., & Williams, K. J. (2019). *School, family, and community partnerships: Your handbook for action* (4th ed.). Corwin.
- Gamoran, A., López Turley, R. N., Turner, A., & Fish, R. (2012). Differences between Hispanic and non-Hispanic families in social capital and child development: First-year findings from an experimental study. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 30(1), 97–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2011.08.002>
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Goodall, J., & Montgomery, C. (2014). Parental involvement to parental engagement: A continuum. *Educational Review*, 66(4), 399–410. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2013.781576>
- Henderson, A. T., & Mapp, K. L. (2002). *A new wave of evidence: The impact of school, family, and community connections on student achievement*. Southwest Educational Development Laboratory.
- Hill, N. E., & Tyson, D. F. (2009). Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment of the strategies that promote achievement. *Developmental Psychology*, 45(3), 740–763. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015362>
- Ishimaru, A. M. (2019). *Just schools: Building equitable collaborations with families and communities*. Teachers College Press.
- Ishimaru, A. M., Rajendran, A., Nolan, C. M., & Bang, M. (2016). Community design circles: Co-designing justice and wellbeing in family-community-research partnerships. *Journal of Family Diversity in Education*, 2(4), 60–83.
- Jacobson, R., Villarreal, L., Muñoz, J., & Mahaffey, R. (2013). *A place-based approach to integrating services for children, youth, and families*. Center for Popular Democracy & Coalition for Community Schools.
- Jeynes, W. H. (2007). The relationship between parental involvement and urban secondary school student academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Urban Education*, 42(1), 82–110. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085906293818>
- Jeynes, W. H. (2018). A practical model for school leaders to encourage parental involvement and parental engagement. *School Leadership & Management*, 38(2), 147–163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2018.1434767>
- Johnston, W. R., Engberg, J., Oppen, I. M., Sontag-Padilla, L., & Xenakis, L. (2020). *Illustrating the promise of community schools: An assessment of the impact of the New York City Community Schools Initiative*. RAND Corporation. <https://doi.org/10.7249/RR3245>
- Kim, Y. (2009). Minority parental involvement and school barriers: Moving the focus away from deficiencies of parents. *Educational Research Review*, 4(2), 80–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2009.02.003>
- Kraft, M. A., & Monti-Nussbaum, M. (2021). The benefits of two-way text-message communication between teachers and parents. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 43(4), 601–625. <https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737211020788>
- Kraft, M. A., & Rogers, T. (2015). The underutilized potential of teacher-to-parent communication: Evidence from a field experiment. *Economics of Education Review*, 47, 49–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2015.04.001>
- Ladson-Billings, G., & Tate, W. F. (1995). Toward a critical race theory of education. *Teachers College Record*, 97(1), 47–68.
- Mapp, K. L., & Kuttner, P. J. (2013). *Partners in education: A dual capacity-building framework for family-school partnerships*. SEDL & U.S. Department of Education.
- McBrien, J. L., Dooley, K., & Birman, D. (2017). Cultural and academic adjustment of refugee children: Introduction to the special issue. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 60, 104–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2017.07.001>
- Moll, L. C., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & Gonzalez, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory Into Practice*, 31(2), 132–141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849209543534>
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2022). *Racial/ethnic enrollment in public schools*. U.S. Department of Education. <https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator/cge>
- Paredes, M. C. (2017). *Academic parent-teacher teams: Implementation and impact of a new model of parent engagement*. American Institutes for Research.
- Reich, J. (2020). *Failure to disrupt: Why technology alone can't transform education*. Harvard University Press.
- Sheldon, S. B., & Jung, S. B. (2018). Student outcomes and parent-teacher home visits: An evaluation of the Family Engagement Partnership. *Johns Hopkins University, Center on School, Family & Community Partnerships*.
- Valencia, R. R. (2010). *Dismantling contemporary deficit thinking: Educational thought and practice*. Routledge.
- Warren, M. R., Mapp, K. L., & Kuttner, P. J. (2018). *From presence to power: A framework for family-community leadership in education*. National Education Policy Center.

- Weiss, H. B., Lopez, M. E., & Caspe, M. (2018). *Carnegie challenge paper: Joining together to create a bold vision for next-generation family engagement*. Global Family Research Project.
- Williams, T. T., & Sánchez, B. (2013). Identifying and decreasing barriers to parent involvement for inner-city parents. *Youth & Society*, 45(1), 54–74. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118X11409066>
- York, B. N., Loeb, S., & Doss, C. (2019). One step at a time: The effects of an early literacy text-messaging program for parents of preschoolers. *Journal of Human Resources*, 54(3), 537–566. <https://doi.org/10.3368/jhr.54.3.0816.8173R>
- Yosso, T. J. (2005). Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 8(1), 69–91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1361332052000341006>
- Zabala, F., Yoo, P. Y., & Zeno, M. (2020). *The Right Question School-Family Partnership Strategy: Impact report*. The Right Question Institute.