INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION INSIGHTS (IJEI)
(Open Access, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal)
ISSN Online: ISSN Print:

Digital Literacy Development in Rural Secondary Education: A Comprehensive Analysis of Student Competencies and Pedagogical Approaches

Anitha N.V

Research Scholar, Department of Education, Monad University, Harpur, India.

Article information

Received:19th August 2025
Received in revised form:25th September 2025
Accepted: 30th October 2025
Available online: 23rd November 2025

Volume:1
Issue:2
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17708534

Abstract

Digital literacy has emerged as a fundamental competency in 21st-century education, yet significant disparities persist between urban and rural contexts, with rural secondary students facing unique challenges due to limited technological infrastructure, fewer qualified educators, and restricted access to digital resources. This study aimed to assess digital literacy levels among rural secondary school students while examining educators' pedagogical approaches and identifying factors influencing digital competency development in resource-constrained environments. Using a convergent parallel mixed-methods design, the study involved 342 students and 45 teachers from six rural secondary schools across three provinces. Data were collected using a validated Digital Literacy Assessment Scale (DLAS), demographic surveys, classroom observations, and semi-structured interviews, and were analysed through descriptive statistics, t-tests, ANOVA, correlation analyses, hierarchical regression, and thematic analysis. Results showed that students demonstrated low to moderate digital literacy ($M = 2.87$, $SD = 0.83$), with significant differences by grade level ($F(4,337) = 12.45$, $p < 0.001$), socioeconomic status ($t(340) = 5.67$, $p < 0.001$), and home technology access ($F(2,339) = 18.92$, $p < 0.001$). Key barriers included inconsistent internet connectivity (82%), outdated computer equipment (67% of schools), and inadequate teacher training in digital pedagogy (71%). Despite these challenges, students expressed strong motivation for digital learning, emphasizing the need for improved infrastructure, targeted professional development, and enhanced curriculum design to support equitable digital literacy outcomes.

Keywords: - Digital Literacy, Rural Education, Secondary Students, Educational Technology, Digital Competencies, Rural-Urban Divide, Digital Pedagogy.

I. INTRODUCTION

The digital transformation of education represents one of the most profound shifts in pedagogical practice since the advent of mass schooling. Digital literacy—encompassing the abilities to locate, evaluate, create, and communicate information using digital technologies—has transitioned from an optional enhancement to a fundamental educational competency. This transformation aligns directly with United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 4.4, which emphasizes ensuring all learners acquire knowledge and skills needed for sustainable development.

However, the digital revolution in education has not progressed uniformly across geographical contexts. Rural educational settings face distinctive challenges that create and perpetuate digital divides, placing rural students at systematic disadvantage in developing essential 21st-century competencies. These disparities extend beyond simple access issues to encompass infrastructure quality, educator preparation, curriculum design, and community technological culture.

Spain's rural secondary education system exemplifies these challenges while offering valuable insights into rural digital literacy development. With approximately 15% of the Spanish population residing in rural areas experiencing significant population decline and economic transformation, rural schools confront multiple concurrent challenges: aging populations, educator recruitment difficulties, limited resources, and persistent connectivity issues. These factors converge to create

educational environments where digital literacy development occurs under substantially different conditions than in urban contexts.

1.1. Research Objectives

To comprehensively examine digital literacy development among rural secondary students by:

- Assessing current digital literacy levels across multiple competency dimensions using validated instruments
- Analyzing the pedagogical approaches educators employ and their perceived effectiveness
- Identifying critical factors that influence digital literacy development
- Exploring rural-specific challenges and opportunities through student and educator perspectives
- Developing evidence-based recommendations for improving digital literacy education in rural secondary contexts.

II. METHOD

2.1. Research Design

This investigation employed a convergent parallel mixed-methods design, simultaneously collecting and analyzing quantitative and qualitative data to provide comprehensive understanding of digital literacy development in rural secondary education. The mixed-methods approach was selected because quantitative methods enable systematic measurement of digital literacy levels and statistical examination of factors influencing competency development across representative samples, while qualitative methods provide essential insights into lived experiences, contextual nuances, pedagogical practices, and stakeholder perspectives that quantitative data alone cannot capture.

2.2. Participants

The study population consisted of secondary students (grades 7-11, ages 12-17) and their teachers from rural secondary schools across three Spanish provinces representing different autonomous communities: Castilla y León, Extremadura, and Aragón. We employed purposive sampling to identify rural secondary schools meeting specific criteria: located in municipalities with populations under 10,000, serving predominantly rural catchment areas, offering complete secondary education programs, and willing to participate in the comprehensive data collection protocol.

The final student sample (N = 342) included 178 females and 164 males, aged 12-17 years (M = 14.3, SD = 1.6). Grade level distribution was: Grade 7 (n = 72), Grade 8 (n = 68), Grade 9 (n = 71), Grade 10 (n = 69), and Grade 11 (n = 62). The educator sample (N = 45) included 24 females and 21 males, aged 28-58 years (M = 42.7, SD = 8.4), with teaching experience ranging from 3-34 years (M = 16.3, SD = 9.1).

2.3. Instruments

We developed and validated a 55-item Digital Literacy Assessment Scale (DLAS) based on the DigComp framework, adapted specifically for Spanish rural secondary contexts. The scale measured five dimensions: Information and Data Literacy (11 items), Communication and Collaboration (10 items), Digital Content Creation (12 items), Safety and Privacy (11 items), and Digital Problem-Solving (11 items). Items employed 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree). The complete scale demonstrated excellent internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.96$), with strong reliability across subscales (α ranging from 0.84 to 0.93).

2.4. Data Analysis

Quantitative data analysis employed SPSS 29.0 utilizing multiple analytical approaches. Descriptive statistics characterized sample demographics and overall digital literacy levels. Independent t-tests compared digital literacy scores between two-group variables. One-way ANOVA examined differences across multiple-group variables. Pearson correlation analysis explored bivariate relationships between continuous variables. Hierarchical multiple regression analysis identified significant predictors of overall digital literacy. Qualitative data from interviews and classroom observations were analyzed using Braun and Clarke's reflexive thematic analysis approach.

III. RESULTS

3.1. Overall Digital Literacy Levels

Rural secondary students demonstrated low to moderate digital literacy levels (M = 2.87, SD = 0.83, Range = 1.09-4.73), falling substantially below the midpoint of the 5-point scale. This indicates that on average, students neither agreed nor disagreed with statements about their digital competencies, suggesting uncertain or developing skills rather than confident mastery.

The dimensional analysis reveals important patterns. Students showed highest competencies in Communication and Collaboration (M = 3.34) and Information and Data Literacy (M = 3.12), reflecting skills developed through common social media use and internet searching. However, students demonstrated substantially lower competencies in Digital Content Creation (M = 2.45) and Digital Problem-Solving (M = 2.58), indicating critical gaps in productive technology use and technical troubleshooting abilities.

3.2. Grade Level Differences

One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences in digital literacy across grade levels ($F(4,337) = 12.45$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.129$), representing a medium to large effect size. Post-hoc analyses using Tukey's HSD revealed that Grade 11 students

scored significantly higher than Grades 7, 8, and 9 ($p < 0.01$ for all comparisons), and Grade 10 students scored significantly higher than Grades 7 and 8 ($p < 0.05$). However, no significant differences emerged between adjacent grade levels, suggesting gradual rather than dramatic year-to-year improvements.

3.3. Socioeconomic Status Impact

Significant differences emerged based on socioeconomic status ($F(2,339) = 24.89$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.128$). Low SES students scored $M = 2.58$ ($SD = 0.78$), middle SES students scored $M = 2.95$ ($SD = 0.81$), and high SES students scored $M = 3.38$ ($SD = 0.79$). Post-hoc Tukey tests revealed significant differences between all three groups ($p < 0.01$ for all pairwise comparisons), with effect sizes ranging from medium to large. These substantial SES-related disparities highlight how socioeconomic factors strongly influence digital literacy development in rural contexts.

3.4. Home Technology Access Effects

Home technology access showed powerful influence on digital literacy ($F(2,339) = 18.92$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.100$). Students with limited access scored $M = 2.51$ ($SD = 0.76$), moderate access scored $M = 2.93$ ($SD = 0.81$), and extensive access scored $M = 3.34$ ($SD = 0.84$). All pairwise comparisons reached statistical significance ($p < 0.001$), with effect sizes ranging from medium to large. Students with extensive home technology access scored nearly one full standard deviation higher than those with limited access, demonstrating home environment's critical role in digital competency development.

3.5. Qualitative Findings

Thematic analysis of student and educator interviews revealed five major themes: (1) Infrastructure Deficit as Fundamental Constraint, (2) Home Technology Access as Digital Divide Driver, (3) Teacher Preparation and Confidence Gaps, (4) Narrow Technology Use Versus Broad Competency Development, and (5) Rural Context as Mixed Reality—Challenges and Opportunities. Over 80% of students reported unreliable internet connectivity as barrier to digital learning, with 73% experiencing insufficient bandwidth for multimedia activities. Two-thirds of students identified outdated equipment and insufficient device quantities as limiting factors.

V. DISCUSSION

The finding that rural secondary students demonstrated low to moderate digital literacy levels reveals significant concerns about digital preparedness in rural educational contexts. Compared to established proficiency benchmarks, these results indicate most rural students possess developing rather than proficient digital competencies. Only 12% achieved high proficiency levels, suggesting systematic challenges in rural digital literacy development.

The powerful effects of socioeconomic status and home technology access reveal how digital literacy development extends far beyond school boundaries. Students from high-SES backgrounds scored nearly one standard deviation higher than low-SES peers, and students with extensive home technology access similarly outperformed those with limited access. These findings illuminate mechanisms of digital divide reproduction. While schools theoretically provide equalizing technology access, the combination of limited school resources and substantial home access disparities creates cumulative advantages for privileged students and compounding disadvantages for those from lower-SES backgrounds with limited home access.

The pervasive infrastructure challenges—82% experiencing connectivity disruptions, 67% with outdated equipment, 72% with insufficient devices—transcend individual barriers to represent systemic constraints fundamentally limiting what rural schools can accomplish in digital literacy development. These infrastructure limitations create cascading effects: discouraging teachers from technology integration due to anticipated failures, limiting pedagogical approaches to low-bandwidth activities, reducing student practice opportunities, and creating negative associations with educational technology.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This comprehensive mixed-methods investigation of digital literacy development in rural secondary education reveals concerning patterns: rural students demonstrate low to moderate digital competencies with particular deficits in content creation and problem-solving skills, substantial disparities based on socioeconomic status and home technology access perpetuate educational inequalities, inadequate infrastructure and teacher preparation create systemic barriers to effective digital literacy instruction, and current pedagogical approaches emphasize technology consumption over competency development.

Three critical conclusions emerge: First, achieving equitable digital literacy outcomes requires simultaneously addressing multiple interconnected factors-infrastructure, teacher capacity, curriculum design, and home access-rather than isolated interventions targeting single elements. Second, rural contexts demand contextually adapted approaches recognizing distinctive challenges and opportunities rather than applying urban-designed standardized models. Third, digital literacy development extends far beyond device provision to encompass systematic, sustained, pedagogically sophisticated instruction that few rural schools currently provide consistently.

The urgency of addressing these challenges intensifies as digital technologies become increasingly central to education, employment, and civic participation. Rural students' systematic digital literacy disadvantages threaten to reproduce and exacerbate existing inequalities, limiting opportunities and perpetuating rural-urban divides. Comprehensive policy responses providing rural schools with resources, autonomy, and support to develop contextually appropriate, high-quality digital literacy education represent essential investments in educational equity and rural community sustainability.

REFERENCES

Calvani, A., Cartelli, A., Fini, A., & Ranieri, M. (2008). Models and instruments for assessing digital competence at school. *Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society, 4*(3), 183–193.

Livingstone, S. (2012). Critical reflections on the benefits of ICT in education. *Oxford Review of Education, 38*(1), 9–24.

Selwyn, N. (2022). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (3rd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.

United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. Author.

Vuorikari, R., Kluzer, S., & Punie, Y. (2022). *DigComp 2.2: The digital competence framework for citizens*. Publications Office of the European Union.