EDUSCHOOL
ACADEMIC RESEARCH & PUBLISHERS

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ARTS SCIENCE HUMANITIES RESEARCH STUDIES (IJASHRS)
(Open Access, Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Journal)
ISSN Online: ISSN Print:

AI-Driven Healthcare Diagnostics in Rural India: Opportunities, Challenges, and Ethical Considerations

Meena Jose Komban

Professor, Department of Computer Science, YuvakshatraInstitute of Management Studies (YIMS), Mundur, Kerala, India.

Article information

Received: 3rd February 2026
Received in revised form: 2nd March 2026
Accepted: 6th April 2026
Available online: 10th May 2026

Volume: 2
Issue: 2
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20225988

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming healthcare worldwide, with applications ranging from medical imaging interpretation to clinical decision support, disease screening, and predictive analytics. In India, where rural populations face significant gaps in access to specialist medical care, AI-driven diagnostic tools represent a particularly important opportunity. This article examines AI-driven healthcare diagnostics in the rural Indian context, focusing on the opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations that shape implementation. Drawing on a critical literature review methodology, the study analyses peer-reviewed scholarship in computer science, medical informatics, public health, and AI ethics published between 2018 and 2025. The analysis identifies four interlocking dimensions of AI-driven rural diagnostics: the technical landscape of available AI tools and their performance characteristics; the deployment ecosystem including hardware, connectivity, workforce, and integration with existing health systems; the equity, bias, and validation considerations specific to Indian rural populations; and the ethical, regulatory, and governance frameworks needed to ensure responsible deployment. The study draws on machine learning research, AI ethics literature including frameworks from the World Health Organization and IEEE, Indian regulatory documents, and emerging deployment studies. Findings indicate that AI-driven diagnostic tools offer substantial promise for closing rural diagnostic gaps in areas including diabetic retinopathy screening, tuberculosis detection from chest radiographs, cervical cancer screening, and dermatological assessment. Realizing this promise requires careful attention to local validation, equitable performance, workflow integration, and ethical governance. The article concludes with implications for computer science research, health system policy, and the design of context-sensitive AI deployment frameworks.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Healthcare Diagnostics, Rural Health, India, Machine Learning, AI Ethics, Equity, Telemedicine

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence has moved rapidly from a specialist research domain to a significant influence on contemporary healthcare practice.[1] Deep learning models trained on large image datasets have demonstrated diagnostic performance comparable to expert clinicians for tasks including the interpretation of retinal images, dermatological photographs, chest radiographs, pathology slides, and various other medical images.[2] Natural language processing applications support clinical documentation, decision support, and patient communication. Predictive analytics enables earlier identification of patients at risk for adverse outcomes. While most AI healthcare research has been conducted in high-income settings, the implications for low- and middle-income countries, including India, are increasingly being explored.[3]

In India, the case for AI-driven diagnostic support is particularly compelling in rural contexts.[4] Specialist medical workforce remains heavily concentrated in urban areas, with rural populations often relying on primary care providers, mid-level practitioners, and community health workers for first-contact care. The District Hospitals, Community Health Centres, and Primary Health Centres that constitute the public rural health system are typically staffed at lower specialist densities than urban tertiary care institutions. The doctor-to-population ratio is substantially lower in rural India than in urban areas. Travel distances and costs further restrict rural access to specialized diagnostic services. AI-driven diagnostic tools, by enabling task-shifting of certain interpretation tasks to algorithms supervised by primary care providers, offer a potential pathway to closing some of these gaps.[5]

Several specific applications have been actively explored in the Indian rural context. AI-based diabetic retinopathy screening has been deployed in collaboration with major eye hospitals and the Indian Council of Medical Research.[6] AI tools for tuberculosis screening from chest radiographs have been evaluated in collaboration with the National Tuberculosis Elimination Programme.[7] AI-supported cervical cancer screening has been piloted in several states. AI-based skin lesion analysis, oral cancer screening, and various other applications have entered different stages of research and deployment.[8] The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated several aspects of digital health adoption, including telemedicine and AI-supported triage, with implications that continue to unfold.

Yet significant challenges and risks accompany these opportunities. Performance of AI tools developed on data from one population may not generalize to others, raising concerns about equity.[9] Deployment ecosystems including hardware, connectivity, workflow integration, and workforce training are non-trivial.[10] Ethical considerations including consent, privacy, accountability, and algorithmic transparency require sustained attention.[11] Regulatory frameworks for AI in medical devices, while developing, are still maturing in India and globally.[12] Against this backdrop, the present article asks: what are the opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations associated with AI-driven healthcare diagnostics in rural India, and what implications follow for research, policy, and practice? Three subsidiary questions structure the inquiry:

- First, what are the technical capabilities of available AI diagnostic tools relevant to rural Indian health needs?
- Second, what deployment ecosystem requirements and challenges shape implementation?
- Third, what equity, ethical, and regulatory considerations are essential for responsible deployment?

The article makes three contributions: it synthesizes scholarship across computer science, medical informatics, and AI ethics with specific reference to rural India; it identifies four interlocking dimensions of the issue; and it articulates implications for research and policy.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Foundations of AI in Medical Diagnostics

The application of machine learning to medical diagnostics has expanded rapidly since the mid-2010s, when deep convolutional neural networks demonstrated breakthrough performance on image classification tasks. Foundational work by Esteva and colleagues on dermatological classification, by Gulshan and colleagues on diabetic retinopathy detection, and by Rajpurkar and colleagues on chest radiograph interpretation established that deep learning models could match or exceed expert human performance on several specific tasks under controlled conditions.[13] Subsequent research has extended these capabilities to a wide range of medical imaging modalities, pathology, ophthalmology, cardiology, and other specialties.[14] Natural language processing methods have enabled the analysis of clinical text, while reinforcement learning and other paradigms have been explored for treatment optimization.

AI for Health in Low- and Middle-Income Countries

A growing body of literature has examined AI applications in low- and middle-income country contexts.[15] Studies have explored AI-supported tuberculosis screening, malaria diagnosis from blood smears, cervical cancer screening, retinal disease screening, and various other applications in African, Asian, and Latin American settings.[16] The literature has identified several distinctive considerations including the scarcity of locally collected training data, the need for performance validation in target populations, infrastructure constraints, workforce considerations, and the importance of integration with existing health systems.[17] The World Health Organization and various academic groups have published guidance on the responsible use of AI for health, with explicit attention to low- and middle-income country considerations.[18]

Indian Health System Context

The Indian health system context for AI deployment is shaped by a layered structure of public, private, and not-for-profit actors. The public health system, organized through Sub-Centres, Primary Health Centres, Community Health Centres, District Hospitals, and tertiary teaching institutions, reaches the rural population through an extensive infrastructure that nonetheless faces persistent staffing, funding, and service delivery challenges.[19] The Ayushman Bharat Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana programme provides health insurance coverage to substantial rural populations and has driven significant changes in care patterns. The Health and Wellness Centres established under Ayushman Bharat aim to deliver comprehensive primary health care including non-communicable disease screening. The National Digital Health Mission and the Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission aim to build the digital infrastructure for an interoperable Indian health system, providing a foundation on which AI applications might be deployed.[20]

AI Ethics, Equity, and Governance

Scholarship on AI ethics has expanded substantially in recent years.[21] Frameworks developed by international organizations including the World Health Organization, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, and the IEEE, alongside national and regional efforts including the European Union AI Act and Indian initiatives such as NITI Aayog’s Responsible AI for All strategy, have articulated principles for fairness, accountability, transparency, privacy, and safety.[22] The specific ethics of AI in healthcare have been examined through the lenses of clinical ethics, public health ethics, and human rights, with significant attention to issues including informed consent, bias and equity,[23] accountability for AI-influenced decisions, the management of incidental findings, and the handling of sensitive health data.

Research Gap

Despite this expanding literature, gaps remain. Integrative analysis specifically focused on rural Indian deployment, combining technical, ecosystem, equity, and ethical considerations, is comparatively rare. Implementation evidence from Indian rural settings, while accumulating, often remains in pilot or research-grade publications rather than synthesized in policy-relevant form. The relationship between AI deployment and the broader Indian Digital Health architecture warrants further engagement. The present article seeks to contribute by integrating these strands.

METHODS

This study employs a critical literature review methodology with thematic synthesis, suitable for engaging a rapidly evolving multidisciplinary literature. The review proceeded through four stages. In the first stage, a structured search was conducted in PubMed, IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, Scopus, Web of Science, the Indian Citation Index, and Google Scholar. Search terms combined artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, healthcare, diagnostics, India, rural health, low-resource settings, and specific application domains including diabetic retinopathy, tuberculosis, cervical cancer, and dermatology. The window covered January 2018 to August 2025.

In the second stage, inclusion criteria specified peer-reviewed empirical studies of AI-driven healthcare diagnostics with relevance to Indian or comparable rural contexts, supplemented by foundational technical and ethical works in the international literature. Reports from the Indian Council of Medical Research, NITI Aayog, the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, the World Health Organization, the National Health Authority, the National Tuberculosis Elimination Programme, and the National Programme for Control of Blindness and Visual Impairment were also reviewed. Exclusion criteria filtered out studies focused exclusively on tertiary urban deployment without rural relevance, technical-only reports without health system or ethical engagement, and non-peer-reviewed materials except for authoritative regulatory and policy documents. After title, abstract, and full-text screening, eighty-two publications were retained.

In the third stage, supplementary materials were drawn from publicly available regulatory and policy documents including the Digital Information Security in Healthcare Act draft, the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023, the National Digital Health Mission documentation, NITI Aayog's Responsible AI strategy, and Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation guidance on Software as a Medical Device. In the fourth stage, thematic synthesis generated four interlocking dimensions of AI-driven rural diagnostics. As a literature-based study using publicly available secondary materials, the research did not require formal ethics approval.

RESULTS

Technical Landscape of AI Diagnostic Tools for Rural Health Needs

The first dimension concerns the technical landscape of AI diagnostic tools relevant to rural Indian health needs. Several application areas have demonstrated substantial technical maturity. AI-based diabetic retinopathy screening has been extensively studied in Indian populations, with multiple validated systems available for use in primary care settings supported by retinal cameras.[24] AI tools for tuberculosis detection from chest radiographs have been evaluated against expert radiologist interpretation and microbiological reference standards in Indian settings,[25] with several systems receiving World Health Organization endorsement for community-level screening.[26] AI-supported cervical cancer screening using visual inspection with acetic acid imaging or HPV testing platforms is in increasingly mature deployment. Dermatological AI tools for skin lesion analysis have been explored in Indian populations with attention to skin tone diversity in training data.[27]

Other application areas remain at earlier stages but show significant promise. Cardiovascular AI applications including ECG interpretation are deployable in primary care contexts. Oral cancer screening AI tools are under active development, with high relevance given the burden of oral cancer in India. Maternal and child health applications including AI-supported foetal ultrasound interpretation and growth assessment are emerging. AI-based malaria, dengue, and other infectious disease diagnostics from microscopy or rapid test images are progressing. The technical performance of these tools varies, and rigorous validation in deployment settings remains essential.

Deployment Ecosystem: Hardware, Connectivity, Workforce, and Workflow

The second dimension concerns the deployment ecosystem in which AI tools must operate. Hardware considerations include the cost, durability, and ease of use of imaging devices, computational platforms ranging from cloud servers to local edge computing devices, and supporting peripherals. Connectivity is a significant variable in rural India, with substantial geographic variation in mobile data quality and reliability. Some AI deployment models depend on real-time cloud-based inference; others use locally deployed models that require periodic connectivity for updates and supervision. The choice of architecture has substantial implications for cost, reliability, and data governance.

Workforce considerations are central. Effective AI deployment in rural settings typically requires training of frontline staff including ASHAs, ANMs, AWWs, primary care physicians, and mid-level practitioners. Training must address not only operational use of AI tools but also the interpretation of AI outputs, the management of uncertainty and edge cases, and communication with patients about AI-supported assessments. Workflow integration is a frequent point of failure, where technically capable systems fail in deployment because they impose unrealistic time costs, generate false alarms that erode trust, or do not connect cleanly with existing care pathways.[28] Successful deployments tend to feature careful co-design with frontline workers, iterative refinement, and integration with established referral structures.

Equity, Bias, and Validation in Indian Populations

The third dimension concerns equity, bias, and validation considerations specific to Indian rural populations. AI models trained predominantly on data from high-income country populations have been shown in several studies to perform less reliably on Indian and other South Asian populations, reflecting differences in disease prevalence, presentation, imaging conditions, demographic characteristics, and other factors.[29] Validation of AI tools on representative Indian populations, including diverse age groups, genders, regional variations, and socioeconomic backgrounds, is therefore essential. The Indian Council of Medical Research and various Indian medical institutions have undertaken Indian-specific validation studies for several AI tools, contributing to a growing evidence base.[30]

Equity considerations extend beyond initial validation. Continuous monitoring is needed to detect performance drift over time and across subpopulations. Subgroup analyses by gender, age, region, and disease severity inform whether deployed tools serve all groups equitably. Particular attention is warranted for groups historically underserved by health systems, including Adivasi populations, women, older adults, and persons with disabilities. Several scholars have argued that equity assessment should not be limited to algorithmic performance but should encompass the full deployment pathway, including who benefits from improved diagnosis, who bears the burdens of incorrect outputs, and how access to follow-up care is distributed.

Ethical, Regulatory, and Governance Considerations

The fourth dimension concerns the ethical, regulatory, and governance frameworks within which AI deployment must operate. Informed consent in AI-supported diagnostic settings raises distinctive considerations.[31] Patients should understand that AI tools are involved in their care, what these tools do, and how outputs are used. Translating these considerations into practical consent procedures appropriate for rural primary care settings, including for low-literacy patients, requires careful design.[32] Privacy considerations are also central, particularly given the sensitivity of health data and the legal framework provided by the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023.[33] Cloud-based AI services raise specific data governance questions including cross-border data flows and vendor data practices.

Accountability for AI-supported diagnostic outcomes is a developing area.[34] Frameworks under discussion include shared accountability across the deploying institution, the AI developer, and the human clinician who acts on AI outputs, with attention to documentation, transparency, and the management of AI-influenced errors. Regulatory frameworks are evolving. The Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation has issued guidance on Software as a Medical Device, and ongoing regulatory development is expected.[35] International frameworks including the World Health Organization's ethics and governance guidance and the European Union's AI Act provide useful comparative reference points.[36] NITI Aayog's Responsible AI for All strategy articulates Indian principles for trustworthy AI.[37]

DISCUSSION

The findings carry several important implications. Theoretically, they support an integrative analytical framework that links technical performance, deployment ecosystem, equity considerations, and ethical and regulatory governance. The four dimensions identified are mutually interdependent rather than separable. Technically capable tools can fail in deployment without adequate ecosystem support; ecosystem investments yield limited returns if equity is neglected; equity requires ethical and regulatory frameworks that ensure accountability.

For computer science research, the findings suggest several priorities. Indian-specific dataset development, including the assembly of large, well-curated, ethically governed datasets representing the diversity of Indian populations, remains foundational. Federated learning and privacy-preserving methods enable model development across institutions without centralizing sensitive data. Edge AI techniques that enable on-device inference are particularly relevant for connectivity-limited rural deployment. Robustness and uncertainty quantification methods that provide reliable confidence estimates are essential for safe deployment. Explainability methods help clinicians and patients understand AI outputs and identify likely errors.

For health system policy, the findings emphasize the importance of integrated approaches across the National Digital Health Mission, the Ayushman Bharat Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana, the Health and Wellness Centres, and disease-specific national programmes.[38] AI deployment plans require integration with existing care pathways, referral structures, and quality assurance mechanisms.[39] Workforce training and supervision frameworks are essential infrastructure. Procurement and deployment standards should require local validation, equity assessment, ongoing monitoring, and meaningful local technical capacity.[40]

For ethical and regulatory governance, the findings highlight several priorities. The development of AI-specific medical device regulation, building on the Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation framework, requires sustained attention.[41] Health data governance under the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023[42] and related instruments needs operational clarification for AI use cases. Patient and community participation in AI governance, including through community advisory mechanisms in deployment sites, supports both ethical practice and trust.[43] Ethics committee capacity for evaluating AI-related research and deployment requires investment, training, and the development of appropriate review frameworks.[44]

Several limitations of the present analysis warrant acknowledgment. As a literature-based study, the analysis depends on the quality and coverage of available scholarship, which remains uneven across application areas, deployment contexts, and Indian regions. The pace of technical and policy change means that some findings will require updating as evidence accumulates. The voices of frontline workers, patients, and rural communities are mediated through researcher framings, and primary participatory research is essential to enrich the analytical picture.

CONCLUSION

This article has examined AI-driven healthcare diagnostics in the rural Indian context, focusing on opportunities, challenges, and ethical considerations. Through a critical literature review across computer science, medical informatics, public health, and AI ethics, the analysis identified four interlocking dimensions: the technical landscape of AI diagnostic tools relevant to rural Indian health needs; the deployment ecosystem of hardware, connectivity, workforce, and workflow integration; equity, bias, and validation considerations specific to Indian populations; and ethical, regulatory, and governance frameworks for responsible deployment. Together these dimensions describe a complex but tractable agenda for translating the substantial promise of AI-driven diagnostics into equitable rural health system improvements.

Three broader conclusions follow. First, AI-driven diagnostic tools offer substantial promise for closing rural diagnostic gaps in priority application areas including diabetic retinopathy, tuberculosis, cervical cancer, dermatology, and other domains where image-based or signal-based diagnosis can be effectively task-shifted. Second, realizing this promise requires sustained attention to local validation, equitable performance, workflow integration, workforce capacity, and ethical governance. Technical excellence alone is insufficient. Third, an effective response requires coordinated action across computer science research, medical and public health institutions, regulatory bodies, and rural health system actors, supported by the broader Digital Health architecture being developed under the National Digital Health Mission.

Several directions for future research are warranted. Implementation research evaluating AI deployment under real-world rural conditions would substantially strengthen the evidence base. Studies of long-term outcomes including impact on diagnostic timeliness, treatment initiation, and patient health, would extend the literature beyond algorithmic performance. Research on equity dimensions across Indian subpopulations is essential. Studies engaging patient and community perspectives on AI in rural healthcare would inform ethical and trust-building practice. Comparative work across Indian states and across South Asian countries would clarify what shapes successful deployment. By advancing such an agenda, computer science can contribute meaningfully to health equity and to the broader project of building responsible AI for the populations who stand to benefit most from it.

REFERENCES

1. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nature Medicine, 25*(1), 44–56.
2. Esteva, A., et al. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature, 542*(7639), 115–118; Gulshan, V., et al. (2016). Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *JAMA, 316*(22), 2402–2410; McKinney, S. M., et al. (2020). International evaluation of an AI system for breast cancer screening. *Nature, 577*(7788), 89–94; Rajpurkar, P., et al. (2018). Deep learning for chest radiograph diagnosis: A retrospective comparison of the CheXNeXt algorithm to practicing radiologists. *PLOS Medicine, 15*(11), e1002686.

3. Schwalbe, N., & Wahl, B. (2020). Artificial intelligence and the future of global health. *The Lancet, 395*(10236), 1579–1586; Wahl, B., et al. (2018). Artificial intelligence (AI) and global health: How can AI contribute to health in resource-poor settings? *BMJ Global Health, 3*(4), e000798.
4. Bhardwaj, P., Dasgupta, R., & Chatterjee, P. (2022). Artificial intelligence in Indian healthcare: A review of opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Medical Systems, 46*(8), 56.
5. Wahl et al., "Artificial Intelligence and Global Health."
6. Beede, E., et al. (2020). A human-centered evaluation of a deep learning system deployed in clinics for the detection of diabetic retinopathy. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1–12) 'Gulshan et al., "Development and Validation of a Deep Learning Algorithm."
7. Khan, F. A., et al. (2017). Computer-aided reading of tuberculosis chest radiography: Moving the research agenda forward to inform policy. *European Respiratory Journal, 50*(1), 1700953; Murthy, V., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence applications in tuberculosis: An overview of the Indian landscape. *Indian Journal of Tuberculosis, 68*(4), 543–549; Qin, Z. Z., et al. (2019). Using artificial intelligence to read chest radiographs for tuberculosis detection: A multi-site evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of three deep learning systems. *Scientific Reports, 9*, 15000.
8. Bhardwaj et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Indian Healthcare."
9. Obermeyer, Z., et al. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science, 366*(6464), 447–453; Panch, T., Mattie, H., & Atun, R. (2019). Artificial intelligence and algorithmic bias: Implications for health systems. *Journal of Global Health, 9*(2), 010318.
10. Beede et al., "Human-Centered Evaluation."
11. Mittelstadt, B. (2019). Principles alone cannot guarantee ethical AI. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(11), 501–507; World Health Organization. (2021). *Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
12. Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation. (2022). *Guidance on software as a medical device (SaMD).*
13. Esteva et al., "Dermatologist-Level Classification"; Gulshan et al., "Development and Validation of a Deep Learning Algorithm"; Rajpurkar et al., "Deep Learning for Chest Radiograph Diagnosis"; Lakhani, P., & Sundaram, B. (2017). Deep learning at chest radiography: Automated classification of pulmonary tuberculosis by using convolutional neural networks. *Radiology, 284*(2), 574–582.
14. McKinney et al., "International Evaluation"; Topol, "High-Performance Medicine."
15. Schwalbe and Wahl, "Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Global Health"; Wahl et al., "Artificial Intelligence and Global Health."
16. Khan et al., "Computer-Aided Reading of Tuberculosis Chest Radiography"; Qin et al., "Using AI to Read Chest Radiographs."
17. Beede et al., "Human-Centered Evaluation"; Panch et al., "Artificial Intelligence and Algorithmic Bias."
18. WHO, *Ethics and Governance*.
19. Bhardwaj et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Indian Healthcare."
20. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. (2021). *National Digital Health Mission strategy overview*. Government of India.
21. Mittelstadt, "Principles Alone."
22. World Health Organization. (2021). *Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
    NITI Aayog. (2018). *National strategy for artificial intelligence*. Government of India; NITI Aayog. (2021). *Responsible AI for all: Approach document for India*. Government of India.
23. Obermeyer et al., "Dissecting Racial Bias"; Panch et al., "Artificial Intelligence and Algorithmic Bias."
24. Beede et al., "Human-Centered Evaluation"; Gulshan et al., "Development and Validation of a Deep Learning Algorithm."
25. Lakhani and Sundaram, "Deep Learning at Chest Radiography"; Murthy et al., "Artificial Intelligence Applications in Tuberculosis"; Qin et al., "Using AI to Read Chest Radiographs."
26. World Health Organization. (2024). *Use of chest imaging in TB care and screening: WHO consolidated guidelines*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
27. Esteva et al., "Dermatologist-Level Classification."
28. Beede et al., "Human-Centered Evaluation."
29. Obermeyer et al., "Dissecting Racial Bias"; Panch et al., "Artificial Intelligence and Algorithmic Bias."
30. Indian Council of Medical Research. (2022). *Guidelines for the use of artificial intelligence in biomedical research and healthcare*; Bhardwaj et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Indian Healthcare."
31. Mittelstadt, "Principles Alone"; WHO, *Ethics and Governance*.
32. ICMR, *Guidelines for the Use of Artificial Intelligence*.
33. Government of India. (2023). *The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023*. New Delhi, India: Ministry of Law and Justice.
34. Mittelstadt, "Principles Alone."

35. CDSCO, *Guidance on Software as a Medical Device*.
36. WHO, *Ethics and Governance*.
37. NITI Aayog, *Responsible AI for All*.
38. MoHFW, *National Digital Health Mission Strategy*.
39. Beede et al., "Human-Centered Evaluation."
40. ICMR, *Guidelines for the Use of Artificial Intelligence*.
41. CDSCO, *Guidance on Software as a Medical Device*.
42. GoI, *Digital Personal Data Protection Act*.
43. NITI Aayog, *Responsible AI for All*; WHO, *Ethics and Governance*.
44. ICMR, *Guidelines for the Use of Artificial Intelligence*.