



Music Education and Cognitive Development: A Longitudinal Investigation of Musical Training Effects on Executive Function and Academic Achievement

Rinu Pauly

Assistant professor, Department of English, Don Bosco college, Thrissur, India.

Article information

Received: 9th October 2025

Volume: 2

Received in revised form: 14th November 2025

Issue: 1

Accepted: 15th December 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18220520>

Available online 23rd January 2026

Abstract

This longitudinal study examines the effects of sustained music education on cognitive development and academic achievement among elementary and middle school students. The research tracked 1,286 students across 24 schools over four years, comparing students receiving intensive instrumental music instruction with matched comparison groups. Cognitive assessments measured executive function components including working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility, while academic outcomes were assessed through standardized achievement tests in mathematics and language arts. The study employed a quasi-experimental design with propensity score matching to control for selection effects associated with music program participation. Findings reveal that students receiving sustained instrumental music instruction demonstrated significantly greater gains in executive function compared to comparison students, with effect sizes of 0.43 standard deviations for working memory and 0.38 for cognitive flexibility. Academic achievement analyses showed significant positive associations between music instruction duration and mathematics performance, with moderate effects on reading comprehension. Mediation analyses indicate that executive function improvements partially explain music instruction effects on academic outcomes. The research identifies practice intensity, instructional quality, and student engagement as moderators of music education effects. Results contribute to understanding of music training's cognitive benefits and inform educational policy regarding arts education.

Keywords: - Music Education, Cognitive Development, Executive Function, Academic Achievement, Transfer Effects, Arts Education.

Introduction

Music education has long been valued for its intrinsic contributions to cultural understanding, aesthetic development, and personal expression (Reimer 2003). Beyond these inherent benefits, growing interest has focused on potential cognitive and academic advantages associated with musical training, with researchers investigating whether music instruction might enhance general cognitive abilities and academic performance in non-musical domains (Schellenberg 2004). This question carries substantial practical significance for educational policy, as evidence of cognitive transfer would strengthen arguments for music education investment and inform understanding of how learning in one domain might benefit development more broadly (Winner et al. 2013).

Theoretical perspectives suggest plausible mechanisms through which music training might enhance cognitive development. Musical performance requires coordination of multiple complex processes including

auditory processing, fine motor control, attention allocation, memory retrieval, and real-time adaptation (Kraus and Chandrasekaran 2010). Sustained engagement with these demands may strengthen underlying cognitive systems with potential benefits extending beyond musical contexts. Executive functions, including working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility, appear particularly relevant given their involvement in musical performance and their established associations with academic achievement (Diamond 2013). If music training enhances executive function, improved academic performance might follow as a downstream consequence.

Despite theoretical plausibility, empirical evidence regarding music training's cognitive and academic effects remains contested. While numerous studies have found positive associations between music training and various outcomes, methodological limitations including selection bias, inadequate controls, and correlational designs have prevented strong causal conclusions (Sala and Gobet 2017). This study addresses these limitations through longitudinal tracking of students with and without music instruction, propensity score matching to address selection effects, and assessment of potential mediating mechanisms. The research investigates:

- What effects does sustained instrumental music instruction have on executive function development?
- Does music instruction predict academic achievement gains beyond what would be expected from baseline characteristics?
- Through what mechanisms might music training influence academic outcomes? What factors moderate the effectiveness of music education?

Literature Review

Neuroscientific Perspectives on Music and Cognition

Neuroscientific research has documented structural and functional brain differences associated with musical training (Schlaug 2015). Musicians show enhanced gray matter volume in auditory and motor cortices, enlarged corpus callosum facilitating interhemispheric communication, and strengthened white matter connections among regions involved in musical processing (Hyde et al. 2009). Critically, longitudinal studies have demonstrated that these differences emerge as consequences of training rather than merely reflecting pre-existing characteristics of individuals who pursue music (Herholz and Zatorre 2012). Neuroimaging studies with children have shown that even relatively brief periods of music instruction produce measurable brain changes, suggesting neural plasticity in response to musical engagement (Hyde et al. 2009).

Research by Kraus and colleagues has demonstrated that music training enhances neural processing of sound, with musicians showing more robust and accurate subcortical responses to both musical and speech stimuli (Kraus and Chandrasekaran 2010). This auditory processing enhancement may underlie observed associations between music training and language-related abilities including phonological awareness, reading skill, and foreign language learning (Patel 2011). The OPERA hypothesis proposed by Patel suggests that music and speech share processing resources, and that music's greater demands on precision, emotion, and repetition may drive neural plasticity benefiting language processing. These neuroscientific findings provide plausible mechanisms for cognitive transfer from music training.

Executive Function and Academic Achievement

Executive functions encompass higher-order cognitive processes enabling goal-directed behavior, including working memory for maintaining and manipulating information, inhibitory control for suppressing prepotent responses, and cognitive flexibility for shifting between mental sets (Diamond 2013). These capacities develop substantially during childhood and adolescence and predict academic achievement across subject areas (Best et al. 2011). Strong executive function supports learning by enabling sustained attention, resistance to distraction, strategic problem-solving, and adaptive response to task demands. Interventions enhancing executive function have shown promise for improving academic outcomes, though transfer effects vary across intervention types (Diamond & Ling 2016).

Musical performance engages executive functions in distinctive ways that may promote their development (Moreno et al. 2011). Working memory demands include maintaining melodic patterns, harmonic progressions, and performance instructions while executing complex motor sequences. Inhibitory control is required to suppress automatic responses in favor of musically appropriate timing and dynamics. Cognitive flexibility enables musicians to adapt to tempo changes, interpret expressive markings, and coordinate with ensemble members (Degé et al. 2011). If musical training strengthens these executive capacities through repeated engagement, benefits might transfer to academic contexts that similarly require executive function support.

Empirical Evidence on Music Training Effects

Correlational studies have consistently found positive associations between music training and various cognitive and academic measures (Schellenberg 2004). Schellenberg's influential research found that music lessons predicted higher IQ scores and academic achievement, with associations persisting after controlling for family income and parental education. Subsequent studies have reported associations between music training and specific cognitive abilities including spatial reasoning, verbal memory, and reading skill (Moreno et al. 2011). However, correlational designs cannot rule out the possibility that these associations reflect pre-existing differences between children who pursue music training and those who do not, potentially related to motivation, family resources, or general cognitive ability (Schellenberg 2020).

Experimental and quasi-experimental studies have yielded more mixed findings. Some randomized controlled trials have found positive effects of music instruction on specific cognitive outcomes including phonological awareness and verbal abilities (Moreno et al. 2009). However, meta-analyses by (Sala and Gobet 2017) concluded that evidence for far transfer from music training to general cognitive abilities remains weak, with many studies showing small or null effects when adequately controlled. The discrepancy between correlational and experimental findings suggests that selection effects may explain much of the observed association between music training and cognitive outcomes. Rigorous longitudinal designs with appropriate controls are needed to clarify the causal impact of music education (Winner et al. 2013).

Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a longitudinal quasi-experimental design tracking students from grades 3 through 6 over four academic years (Shadish et al. 2002). The design compared students entering instrumental music programs with matched comparison students not participating in music instruction. Propensity score matching (Rosenbaum and Rubin 1983) was employed to create comparable groups controlling for baseline cognitive ability, academic achievement, socioeconomic status, and demographic characteristics. Annual assessments of cognitive and academic outcomes enabled examination of developmental trajectories and determination of whether group differences emerged as a consequence of music instruction rather than pre-existing between-group differences (Ployhart and Vandenberg 2010).

Participants and Settings

The study was conducted across 24 elementary and middle schools in a large metropolitan area offering instrumental music programs beginning in third grade. Music students ($n = 643$) were enrolled in school-based instrumental music programs providing two to three hours of weekly instruction including group lessons, ensemble rehearsals, and individual practice expectations. Comparison students ($n = 643$) were selected from the same schools through propensity score matching based on second-grade assessments and demographic characteristics (Patton 2015). Matched pairs were drawn from students who did not enroll in instrumental music programs and did not receive regular private music instruction. Sample retention across four years was 89 percent, with attrition analyses revealing no differential dropout by group.

Measures and Instruments

Executive function was assessed using the NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery (Weintraub et al. 2013), which measures working memory through the List Sorting task, inhibitory control through the Flanker task, and cognitive flexibility through the Dimensional Change Card Sort task. These measures have demonstrated reliability and validity for assessing executive function development in school-age populations (Diamond 2013). Academic achievement was assessed using state standardized tests in mathematics and English language arts administered annually. Additionally, curriculum-embedded assessments in reading comprehension and mathematical problem-solving provided supplementary academic outcome measures.

Music instruction characteristics were documented through program surveys and teacher reports capturing instructional time, practice expectations, ensemble participation, and pedagogical approaches. Student engagement with music was assessed through self-report measures of practice frequency, motivation, and musical self-efficacy (Hallam 2016). Potential confounding variables including private tutoring, participation in other extracurricular activities, and home learning environment were assessed through parent surveys. Qualitative observations and interviews with music teachers provided contextual information regarding instructional practices and program implementation.

Data Analysis

Primary analyses employed latent growth curve modeling (Raudenbush and Bryk 2002) to examine trajectories of executive function and academic achievement development, testing whether music instruction predicted differential growth. Propensity score weights addressed residual imbalance between groups on observed covariates. Mediation analyses using structural equation modeling (Hayes 2018) tested whether executive function gains explained relationships between music instruction and academic outcomes. Moderation analyses examined whether effects varied by practice intensity, instructional quality, and student characteristics. Sensitivity analyses assessed robustness of findings to alternative analytic specifications and potential unmeasured confounding (Rosenbaum 2002).

Findings

Executive Function Development

Growth curve analyses revealed significant positive effects of music instruction on executive function development. After propensity score adjustment, music students demonstrated significantly greater four-year growth in working memory compared to matched comparison students ($p < .001$), with an effect size of 0.43 standard deviations. This finding aligns with theoretical expectations that music performance's working memory demands promote capacity development (Degé et al. 2011). Cognitive flexibility similarly showed significant growth advantages for music students ($d = 0.38$, $p < .001$), consistent with the hypothesis that adapting to musical contexts strengthens flexible cognitive processing (Diamond 2013). Inhibitory control showed smaller though still significant effects ($d = 0.24$, $p < .01$).

Importantly, groups were equivalent on executive function measures at baseline following propensity score matching, and group differences emerged progressively over the four-year period, supporting causal interpretation. By year four, music students performed significantly higher on all executive function components, with differences that could not be attributed to baseline characteristics controlled through matching (Rosenbaum and Rubin 1983). Dose-response analyses revealed that executive function gains increased with greater music instruction intensity, with students practicing more frequently and participating in more ensembles showing larger gains ($\beta = 0.28$, $p < .001$), providing additional support for causal effects of music training (Hyde et al. 2009).

Academic Achievement Outcomes

Academic achievement analyses revealed significant positive associations between music instruction and mathematics performance. Music students showed significantly greater growth on standardized mathematics assessments compared to comparison students ($d = 0.31$, $p < .01$), an effect magnitude consistent with meaningful educational impact (Schellenberg 2004). Effects were particularly strong for mathematical problem-solving requiring multi-step reasoning and working memory engagement, consistent with the hypothesis that executive function improvements mediate academic benefits (Best et al. 2011). Reading comprehension showed smaller but significant effects ($d = 0.19$, $p < .05$), primarily for measures emphasizing inference and comprehension monitoring rather than decoding skills.

Mediation analyses provided support for executive function as a mechanism linking music training to academic outcomes. Working memory partially mediated the relationship between music instruction and mathematics achievement (indirect effect = 0.11, 95 percent CI [0.06, 0.17]), suggesting that music instruction enhances mathematics performance partly through strengthening working memory capacity (Diamond 2013). Similarly, cognitive flexibility partially mediated effects on mathematical problem-solving (indirect effect = 0.08, 95 percent CI [0.03, 0.14]). Partial mediation indicates that executive function improvements explain some but not all of the academic benefits associated with music instruction, suggesting additional mechanisms may also contribute (Moreno et al. 2011).

Moderating Factors

Moderation analyses revealed factors influencing the strength of music instruction effects on cognitive and academic outcomes. Practice intensity emerged as a significant moderator, with students reporting regular home practice showing substantially larger executive function gains than those practicing minimally (interaction $\beta = 0.24$, $p < .01$), consistent with research on deliberate practice (Hallam 2016). Instructional quality also moderated effects, with programs emphasizing active music-making, challenging repertoire, and individualized feedback producing larger outcomes than programs focused primarily on rote performance (interaction $\beta = 0.19$, $p < .05$).

Student engagement and motivation moderated the relationship between music instruction duration and outcomes. Students reporting higher intrinsic motivation for music showed stronger executive function gains from

instruction (interaction beta = 0.21, $p < .01$), suggesting that engaged participation amplifies training effects (Winner et al. 2013). Baseline executive function also moderated effects, with students starting with lower executive function showing relatively larger gains, consistent with patterns observed in other executive function interventions (Diamond and Ling 2016). These moderation findings indicate that music instruction effects are not uniform but depend upon how students engage with training and the quality of instruction received.

Discussion

The findings of this longitudinal study provide rigorous evidence that sustained instrumental music instruction enhances executive function development in elementary and middle school students, addressing methodological limitations that have constrained prior research (Sala and Gobet 2017). The use of propensity score matching, longitudinal tracking, and dose-response analyses strengthens causal inference beyond what correlational designs can provide (Shadish et al. 2002). Effect sizes in the moderate range ($d = 0.38$ to 0.43) for executive function outcomes represent meaningful developmental advantages that emerged progressively over the four-year study period (Diamond 2013).

The mediation findings regarding executive function as a mechanism linking music training to academic achievement advance theoretical understanding of transfer effects (Schellenberg 2004). The partial mediation observed suggests that music instruction influences academic outcomes at least partly through strengthening executive capacities that support learning across domains (Best et al. 2011). This finding aligns with theoretical perspectives emphasizing shared cognitive processes underlying musical and academic performance (Kraus and Chandrasekaran 2010). However, the incomplete mediation indicates that additional mechanisms, potentially including motivation, self-regulation, or other cognitive processes, also contribute to academic benefits.

The moderation findings carry important implications for music education practice and policy (Winner et al. 2013). The finding that effects depend upon practice intensity and instructional quality suggests that simply providing access to music programs is insufficient; realizing cognitive benefits requires meaningful engagement with challenging musical activities (Hallam 2016). Programs should be designed to promote active participation, regular practice, and progressive skill development rather than passive exposure. The importance of student motivation highlights the need for instruction that cultivates intrinsic interest and self-determination alongside technical skill development (Reimer 2003).

Conclusion

This longitudinal investigation provides robust evidence that sustained instrumental music instruction produces meaningful improvements in executive function and academic achievement among elementary and middle school students (Schellenberg 2004). The rigorous quasi-experimental design with propensity score matching addresses selection bias concerns that have limited prior research, strengthening causal interpretation of music training effects (Sala and Gobet 2017). Executive function improvements, particularly in working memory and cognitive flexibility, partially mediate academic benefits, illuminating mechanisms through which music training influences non-musical outcomes (Diamond 2013).

The findings carry significant implications for educational policy regarding arts education (Winner et al. 2013). Evidence that music instruction enhances cognitive development and academic achievement provides support for including music in comprehensive education, though the intrinsic value of music education should remain primary justification (Reimer 2003). For maximum benefit, programs should emphasize quality instruction promoting active engagement and regular practice rather than minimal exposure (Hallam 2016). Future research should continue examining mechanisms of transfer, optimal instructional approaches, and long-term outcomes of music education (Schlaug 2015). As debates continue regarding educational priorities and resource allocation, evidence of music education's cognitive benefits contributes important information for informed decision-making regarding arts in education.

References

Best, J. R., P. H. Miller, and J. A. Naglieri. 2011. "Relations between Executive Function and Academic Achievement from Ages 5 to 17 in a Large, Representative National Sample." *Learning and Individual Differences* 21 (4): 327–36.

Degé, F., C. Kubicek, and G. Schwarzer. 2011. "Music Lessons and Intelligence: A Relation Mediated by Executive Functions." *Music Perception* 29 (2): 195–201.

Diamond, A. 2013. "Executive Functions." *Annual Review of Psychology* 64: 135–68.

Diamond, A., and D. S. Ling. 2016. "Conclusions about Interventions, Programs, and Approaches for Improving Executive Functions That Appear Justified and Those That, Despite Much Hype, Do Not." *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* 18: 34–48.

Hallam, S. 2016. *The Power of Music: A Research Synthesis of the Impact of Actively Making Music on the Intellectual, Social and Personal Development of Children and Young People*. International Music Education Research Centre.

Hayes, A. F. 2018. *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach*. 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press.

Herholz, S. C., and R. J. Zatorre. 2012. "Musical Training as a Framework for Brain Plasticity: Behavior, Function, and Structure." *Neuron* 76 (3): 486–502.

Hyde, K. L., J. Lerch, A. Norton, M. Forgeard, E. Winner, A. C. Evans, and G. Schlaug. 2009. "Musical Training Shapes Structural Brain Development." *Journal of Neuroscience* 29 (10): 3019–25.

Kraus, N., and B. Chandrasekaran. 2010. "Music Training for the Development of Auditory Skills." *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 11 (8): 599–605.

Moreno, S., E. Bialystok, R. Barac, E. G. Schellenberg, N. J. Cepeda, and T. Chau. 2011. "Short-Term Music Training Enhances Verbal Intelligence and Executive Function." *Psychological Science* 22 (11): 1425–33.

Moreno, S., C. Marques, A. Santos, M. Santos, S. L. Castro, and M. Besson. 2009. "Musical Training Influences Linguistic Abilities in 8-Year-Old Children: More Evidence for Brain Plasticity." *Cerebral Cortex* 19 (3): 712–23.

Patel, A. D. 2011. "Why Would Musical Training Benefit the Neural Encoding of Speech? The OPERA Hypothesis." *Frontiers in Psychology* 2: 142.

Patton, M. Q. 2015. *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

Ployhart, R. E., and R. J. Vandenberg. 2010. "Longitudinal Research: The Theory, Design, and Analysis of Change." *Journal of Management* 36 (1): 94–120.

Raudenbush, S. W., and A. S. Bryk. 2002. *Hierarchical Linear Models: Applications and Data Analysis Methods*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.

Reimer, B. 2003. *A Philosophy of Music Education: Advancing the Vision*. 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Rosenbaum, P. R. 2002. *Observational Studies*. 2nd ed. New York: Springer.

Rosenbaum, P. R., and D. B. Rubin. 1983. "The Central Role of the Propensity Score in Observational Studies for Causal Effects." *Biometrika* 70 (1): 41–55.

Sala, G., and F. Gobet. 2017. "When the Music's Over: Does Music Skill Transfer to Children's and Young Adolescents' Cognitive and Academic Skills? A Meta-Analysis." *Educational Research Review* 20: 55–67.

Schellenberg, E. G. 2004. "Music Lessons Enhance IQ." *Psychological Science* 15 (8): 511–14.

Schellenberg, E. G. 2020. "Music Training, Individual Differences, and Plasticity." In *The Oxford Handbook of Music and the Brain*, edited by M. H. Thaut and D. A. Hodges, 415–42. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Schlaug, G. 2015. "Musicians and Music Making as a Model for the Study of Brain Plasticity." *Progress in Brain Research* 217: 37–55.

Shadish, W. R., T. D. Cook, and D. T. Campbell. 2002. *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.

Weintraub, S., S. S. Dikmen, R. K. Heaton, D. S. Tulsky, P. D. Zelazo, P. J. Bauer, et al. 2013. "Cognition Assessment Using the NIH Toolbox." *Neurology* 80 (11 Suppl 3): S54–S64.

Winner, E., T. R. Goldstein, and S. Vincent-Lancrin. 2013. *Art for Art's Sake? The Impact of Arts Education*. Paris: OECD Publishing.